

PROBLEM 1 *Symbolizing*

Provide a logic translation for each of the following.

1. Every sorting algorithm that is asymptotically faster than mergesort is limited in what kinds of elements can be in its list.

domain: algorithms

$S(x)$: x is a sorting algorithm

$M(x)$: x is faster than mergesort

$U(x)$: works on lists of any kind

$$\forall x . (S(x) \wedge M(x)) \rightarrow \neg U(x)$$

2. I love everyone who loves me as long as they also like peanut butter or cheddar cheese on their lemon sorbet.

domain: people

$L(x, y)$: x loves y

$P(x)$: x likes peanut butter on lemon sorbet

$C(x)$: x likes cheddar cheese on lemon sorbet

m : Me

$$\forall x . (L(x, m) \wedge (P(x) \vee C(x))) \rightarrow L(m, x)$$

What more practice? See Practice Quiz 03 for a list from our textbooks

PROBLEM 2 *Prosify*

Convert the following proof outlines into prose proofs.

3. Theorem: $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R \equiv P \rightarrow (R \vee \neg Q)$

Proof outline:

$$(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R \equiv \neg(P \wedge Q) \vee R \equiv (\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee R \equiv \neg P \vee (\neg Q \vee R) \equiv \neg P \vee (R \vee \neg Q) \equiv P \rightarrow (R \vee \neg Q)$$

Proof.

$(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$ is equivalent to $\neg(P \wedge Q) \vee R$ by the definition of implication; De Morgan's law changes that to $(\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee R$, which is the same as $\neg P \vee (R \vee \neg Q)$ by the associative and commutative properties of disjunction. Using the definition of implication again, we arrive at $P \rightarrow (R \vee \neg Q)$.

□

4. Theorem: There is an integer that every other integer divides.

Formalism: $\exists x . \forall y . D(x, y)$ where $D(x, y)$ means “ x divides y ”.

Proof outline: $x = 1$; $y \div 1 = y$ remainder 0; $\therefore \exists x . \forall y . D(x, y)$

Proof.

Consider $x = 1$. 1 divides y with no remainder regardless of what y is. Thus, $\forall y . D(1, y)$. Since this works for $x = 1$, we know that $\exists x . \forall y . D(x, y)$.

□

What more practice? Take any proof from Quiz 02 or our textbook and try converting to prose

PROBLEM 3 Complete

Fill in the blanks to complete the following proofs by cases.

Theorem 1 $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow M \equiv P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow M)$

Proof. 5. Either P _____ is true or it is false.

Case 1: P _____ is true The expression $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow M$ in this case

6. can be simplified to $Q \rightarrow M$ by the equivalence of $\top \wedge Q$ and Q .

The expression $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow M)$ in this case

7. can be simplified to $Q \rightarrow M$ by the equivalence of $\top \rightarrow Q$ and Q .

Because the two are equivalent to the same thing, they are equivalent to each other.

Case 2: P _____ is false The expression $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow M$ in this case

8. can be simplified to $\perp \rightarrow M$ by the equivalence of $\perp \wedge Q$ and \perp , which in turn is just \top regardless of the value of M .

The expression $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow M)$ in this case

9. is $\perp \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow M)$, which is \top regardless of the values of Q and M .

Because the two are equivalent to the same thing, they are equivalent to each other.

Since $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow M \equiv P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow M)$ is true in both cases, it is true in general. □

Theorem 2 $P \oplus Q \equiv \bar{P} \oplus \bar{Q}$

Proof. 10. Either P is true or it is false.

Case 1: P is true The expression $P \oplus Q$ in this case

11. is $T \oplus Q$, which is defined to mean $(T \vee Q) \wedge \overline{(T \wedge Q)}$.
Simplifying, that is equivalent to $T \wedge \bar{Q}$, or simply \bar{Q} .

The expression $\bar{P} \oplus \bar{Q}$ in this case

12. is $\perp \oplus \bar{Q}$, which is defined to mean $(\perp \vee \bar{Q}) \wedge \overline{(\perp \wedge \bar{Q})}$.
We can simplify that to $(\bar{Q}) \wedge (\perp)$,
which is equivalent to $\bar{Q} \wedge \perp$ or simply \bar{Q} .

Because the two are equivalent to the same thing, they are equivalent to each other.

Case 2: P is false The expression $P \oplus Q$ in this case

13. is $\perp \oplus Q$, which is defined to mean $(\perp \vee Q) \wedge \overline{(\perp \wedge Q)}$.
Simplifying, that is equivalent to $Q \wedge \perp$, or simply Q .

The expression $\bar{P} \oplus \bar{Q}$ in this case

14. is $T \oplus \bar{Q}$, which is defined to mean $(T \vee \bar{Q}) \wedge \overline{(T \wedge \bar{Q})}$.
Simplifying, that is equivalent to $T \wedge \bar{\bar{Q}}$, which is equivalent to Q .

Because the two are equivalent to the same thing, they are equivalent to each other.

Because $P \oplus Q \equiv \bar{P} \oplus \bar{Q}$ is true in both cases, it is true in general. \square

What more practice? Try MCS problem 1.7; writing the example proofs in $\forall x$ 15.6, 16.3, 19.2, and 19.6 in prose; $\forall x$ practice 15.A and 15.B