

PROBLEM 1 *Convert to prose*

S : the set of all snakes

R : the set of all rabbits

$E(x, y)$: x eats y

$Y(x)$: x is yellow

Convert the following to simple, readable English:

1. $(\exists r \in R, s \in S . E(r, s)) \rightarrow (\neg \forall s \in S . \exists r \in R . E(s, r))$
2. $\forall r \in R, s \in S . (Y(s) \rightarrow \neg E(s, r)) \wedge (Y(r) \rightarrow E(r, s))$
3. $\forall s_1 \in S . \exists s_2 \in S . \forall s_3 \in S . Y(s_1) \rightarrow (\neg E(s_2, s_3) \wedge E(s_1, s_2) \wedge \neg Y(s_2))$

PROBLEM 2 *Primes and factors*

4. _____ is the prime factorization of 28
5. _____ is the prime factorization of 256
6. _____ is the prime factorization of 31
7. _____ is the prime factorization of $4^8 \cdot 14^9$
8. _____ is the set positive 1-digit numbers relatively prime with 15
9. _____ is the set positive 1-digit numbers relatively prime with 81

PROBLEM 3 *Symbolic proof by contradiction*

Write a symbolic proof outline of the the following, using proof-by-contradiction.

10. $\frac{2}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

11. $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$

PROBLEM 4 *Prose from symbols*

Write a prose proof that follows the given symbolic proof outlines.

	Assume $\frac{5}{8} \in \mathbb{Z}$	
	$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z} . \frac{5}{8} = x$	definition of set membership
	$\frac{5}{8} = x$	existential instantiation
12.	$5 = 8x$	algebra
	2 is a factor of 5	fundamental theorem of arithmetic
	\perp	contradiction
	Ergo assumption false	proof by contradiction
	$\frac{5}{8} \notin \mathbb{Z}$	conclusion

Proof.

Assume $\sqrt[3]{4} \in \mathbb{Q}$

$\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z} . \sqrt[3]{4} = \frac{x}{y} \wedge \gcd(x, y) = 1$ definition of set rationals

$\sqrt[3]{4} = \frac{x}{y}$ existential instantiation

$4y^3 = x^3$ algebra

$\neg(2 \mid x) \vee \neg(2 \mid y)$ because $\gcd(x, y) = 1$

case 1: $\neg(2 \mid x)$

$\neg(2 \mid x^3)$

\perp

case 2: $\neg(2 \mid y)$

$(2 \mid x^3)$

$(2 \mid x)$

$(8 \mid x^3)$

$\neg(8 \mid 2y^3)$

\perp

\perp

Ergo assumption false

$\sqrt[3]{4} \notin \mathbb{Q}$

case analysis

contradiction

proof by contradiction

conclusion

13.

Proof.

PROBLEM 5 *Proof by contradiction*

Prove the following using proof-by-contradiction. You may prove them in prose or in symbols or any readable mix of the two.

14. $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

Proof.

15. $2^{-1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

Proof.

16. $\sqrt{7} \notin \mathbb{Q}$

Proof.

17. $3^{1.5} \notin \mathbb{Q}$

Proof.

PROBLEM 6 *Additional problems*

18. Prove there are infinitely many prime numbers. Use $p' = 1 + \prod_{p \in P} p$ where P is the set of all primes to derive the contradiction (e.g. by showing both that $p' \in P$ and $p' \notin P$).
19. Prove there are infinitely many integers. Use $z + 1$ where z is the largest integer to derive the contradiction.
20. Prove there are infinitely many finite-length strings containing the digits 0 and 1. Use the concatenation of s and s , where z a one of the strings of maximal length, to derive the contradiction.
21. Prove there are infinitely many finite natural numbers. Use $n + 1$, where n is the largest finite natural number, to derive the contradiction.
22. Prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} . 4 \mid (5^n - 1)$. Use the well-ordering principle to derive a contradiction by showing that if $m > 0$ is the smallest n that makes the expression false, then $m - 1$ also makes it false. Include a case that shows that the expression holds for $n = 0$.
23. Prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \overline{p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n} \equiv \overline{p_1} \vee \overline{p_2} \vee \dots \vee \overline{p_n}$. Use the well-ordering principle to derive a contradiction by showing that if $m > 1$ is the smallest n that makes the expression false, then $m - 1$ also makes it false. Include a case that shows that the expression holds for $n = 1$.
24. Prove there is no smallest positive real number. Use the well-ordering principle to derive a contradiction by showing a smaller positive real number than the smallest positive real. Tools like $n \div 2$ or $n \times n$ might help.
25. Prove there is no real number that is closest to, but not the same as, x . Use the well-ordering principle to derive a contradiction by showing a closer real number than the closest real. Tools like $\frac{x+y}{2}$ might help.
26. Prove there is no best rational approximation of $\sqrt{2}$ by showing that, for every approximation x , the value $\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{x}$ is a better approximation; you may need to a lemma to show that that $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q} . \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{x} \neq x$.
27. Prove that $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z} . (x + 1)(x - 1) = x^2 - 1$ without using the distributive law of multiplication. Instead show that it holds for some x (pick any you wish) and that there's no largest or smallest x for which it does not hold.
28. Prove that there is no largest two-argument function $f(x, y)$ that returns $x + y$ in the programming language of your choice. Do this by showing that if there was a largest program, you can make a larger one that has the same behavior.
29. Prove that there is no most-complicated two-argument function $f(x, y)$ that returns $x + y$ in the programming language of your choice, where complication is measured by the number of `if` statements and loops. Do this by showing that if there was a most complicated program, you can make a more complicated one that has the same behavior.
30. Prove that there is no longest-running two-argument function $f(x, y)$ that returns $x + y$ in the programming language of your choice. Do this by showing that if there was a most longest-running program, you can make a program that takes longer to execute and has the same behavior.