ENGR (20204)

INSTRUCTORS: Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)

Respondents: 92 / Enrollment: 116

Summary: CS 2110-003 Software Development Meth	nods - Fall 2013	3 (20204)								
Overall Course Rating CS-2110-003 Mean 3.91 CS-2110-003 Std Dev 0.99 CS-2110-003 Response Count 457			Overall Ins INSTRUCT Mean 4.38 Std Dev 0 Response	tructor Rating OR: Tychonie 3 .75 Count 641	g vich, Luther					
Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations	-2 -1 0	1 2 19	Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations							
SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.09 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.96 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 14500	SEAS, 2000-level courses Mean 4.25 SEAS, 2000-level courses Std Dev 0.89 SEAS, 2000-level courses Response Count 22800									
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~									
1. How accurate is this statement for	Results for (CS-2110-003	3 Tychonievic	h. Luther						
you: After taking this class, I am more likely to major or minor in CS.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
Question Type: Likert ~ contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)	92	3.54	1.35	28 (30.43%)	26 (28.26%)	18 (19.57%)	8 (8.70%)	12 (13.04%)		
	Results for S	SEAS. 2000-	level courses							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
	152	3.32	1.43	40 (26.32%)	40 (26.32%)	26 (17.11%)	20 (13.16%)	26 (17.11%)		
2. How accurate is this statement for	Results for C	CS-2110-003	3, Tychonievic	h, Luther						
you: After taking this class, I have a better appreciation for Computer Science.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		
Question Type: Likert	92	4.13	1.00	40 (43.48%)	33 (35.87%)	13 (14.13%)	3 (3.26%)	3 (3.26%)		
\sim contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)										
	Results for S	SEAS, 2000- Moon	Std Dov	Strongly	Agroo	Noutral	Disagraa	Strongly		
				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)		
	151	4.05	1.04	60 (39.74%)	58 (38.41%)	20 (13.25%)	7 (4.64%)	6 (3.97%)		
3. Which topic/lecture in this course was	Results for C	CS-2110-003	3, Tychonievic	h, Luther						
your favorite and why?	Total			I	ndividual Ans	swers				
Question Type: Short Answer	84			See be	low for Individ	dual Results				
contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)										
	Algorithms	because we	did many clas	s demonstrat	ions.					
	The Androi	d project wa	s my favorite b	because it was	s a real world	application the	nat I could rela	ate to.		
	Sets/maps	Learning ab	out it was fun							
	Android, im	mediate and	l obvious real	world relaven	се					
	My favorite topics are binary trees and data structures because they form the basis for a lot of computer science (how data is stored, etc). Furthermore, this information will be explored more in 2150 and in the future, so I think that this information will be helpful to know about. I also thought that inheritance is quite powerful, and I like how it faciliates a lot of code reuse.									
	Algorithm a	nalysis beca	ause I think it v	vill be the mos	st useful in ter	rms of manip	ulation of larg	e data sets		
	I like recursion because the logic is interesting									

everything

The information in this document is private and confidential. Please handle accordingly.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Android because it showed how to use the information talked about in the course in a real-world situation.
	Maps and Sets because of their analogues to Abstract Algebra made them easy for me (a math major in the college) to understand
	Software development models and methods, as that was the only topic I had not seen prior to this course.
	The android section was probably the most interesting because it was the most immediately applicable
	Android, because it was our first time doing "real world" programming that involved an external device running the application
	android; it taught me how to go out and learn things on my own
	Android. Learning something we can use practically for much of our programming life? We need more opportunities like this.
	none. I'm not a huge fan of CS but I realize it's use
	Runtime/learning ways to speed up program runtime because this interests me
	Anything talking about stack frames and pointers
	I really enjoyed learning about Android programming, because it's a very practical way to demonstrate just how much we've learned in two short semesters.
	Overall felt like the class was good. No real favorite.
	No particular preference
	Recursion. I like the topic.
	recursion
	I enjoyed learning about Android because of its practical value (I can actually program apps and make money now!)
	Android because it helped me learn things on my own while teaching me a skill that I actually think I might use later on.
	Although the android topics were difficult, it was new to me and I hope to be able to use it in the future
	the lectures about software engineering and OOD, because they were more applicable to real world applications of cs
	I enjoyed swing because we were building tangible windows that we could use.
	Maps because I thoroughly understood it.
	I liked recursion.
	Android. It was cool and new.
	i love the algorithm and tree part the most, really fun to study
	I really enjoyed the interface stuff
	Swing I'm interested in UI.
	Everything! Because Luther is awesome. Actually it was android because I have never coded android
	File reading
	Sets and Maps. They were fascinating.
	Sets: I felt like i learned the most during this
	Learning how methods are actually called by a computer we hadn't covered it in CS 1110 and I'd wondered how it worked.

The part where learned android. Why? Because that's why I took this class.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Wouldn't say there was a clear favorite, all topics were interesting. OO Design I guess, because of the process of thinking and then solving a problem
	The topic on software design was my favorite because it was applicable to the real-world and gave me insight into my past experience at my previous internship.
	Android, it had the most creativity and application.
	android
	Android Dev - fun to actually work on the big project.
	Android because I could actually apply it to my life. I have an Android phone.
	Unit testing and pair programming really gave insight into the reality of programming - a greater real- world picture of programming especially since much more coding and working with other people are often done in computer science fields in reality.
	Android, because it was fun to get out and do some self guided learning
	Android lectures
	data structures
	Android, most practical but wish we were instructed to greater detail
	Interfaces, because they seem very intuitive and were something I was hoping was possible in java.
	I really enjoyed algorithms.
	I liked the things we did with graphics near the end of the class.
	Inheritance. It gave me a deeper understanding of how many programs work.
	Android programming
	Swing and Android
	Recursion because it teaches logical thinking
	Inheritance and abstract classes, interesting and useful for programs using many similar objects
	Learning how Android works. I have been trying to do write apps for ages, but I have generally lacked the drive and resources necessary to learn how the system works.
	I loved when we talked about the Java Collections framework. Android was good, but we should have had more.
	Android project
	I enjoyed learning more about debugging because it made my homework and labs much more efficient.
	Interfaces, superclasses, etc: they allowed reusing of code in a predictable way (building things)
	none of the lectures stood out
	Algorithms. Professor T. got to put his personality into the lectures which made it more fun - also it is a more inherently interesting topic.
	Android because it was easy to see the various applications of the information and how it could be used in the future.
	Algorithm Analysis - It seemed to be actual computer science.
	TreeMaps, Coding Experience
	Android Development
	I enjoyed talking about the different development processes of coding like scrum.
	Android
	Android
	Android
	Android

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~										
	Android										
	Android										
	Android, most applicable										
	Trees, as i felt very comfortable in coding for the concepts being taught, and it was interesting material to begin with										
	Android - very useful and interesting. Fun to work with as well.										
	The different software development processes lesson was my favorite because it factored in the bigger picture and management techniques.										
	Inheritance and superclasses because they can simply coding. I thoroughly enjoyed the Android App development process because it gave me a opportunity to app my learning to a group project with a set software development method towards production of an ap product. This has been my most hands-on activity with regards to programming so far and has prove to me that I truly do enjoy computer science and want to major in it.										
4. Which topic/lecture in this class do	Posulte for CS-2110-002 Tychoniovich Luthor										
you think you will find the most useful	Total Individual Answers										
In the future:	83 See below for Individual Results										
contributed by Tychonievich Luther (lat7h)											
	OO Design										
	Inheritance and Recursion										
	The topic that was most useful was the Android content because I will most likely be required to design and program applications in the future.										
	The android project was the most useful, however, I wish there was a longer period of time designated to this topic as it could be improved significantly.										
	Algorithms										
	Sets, Lists, Maps, Data Structures.										
	The android project										
	Android and Inheritance										
	Android development and software engineering.										
	Search algorithms										
	android project										
	Topics regarding algorithms and data structures										
	Android, because its pretty awesome to be able to write an app.										
	Unfortunately, none were particularly useful. I know you want me to say that silly Android exercise was useful, but I hated it.										
	Android because it introduced me to a new way of coding.										
	recursion										
	andoird; the IT field with the highest expected income growth in the next 5 years is mobile app development.										
	The topic which I liked the least will probably be the most useful: The software development methods. Not to say that this section was too hard or too boring, but it just wasn't super exciting. However, after beginning a startup with some friends of mine, I've noticed myself using/talking about those methods, so I guess it was useful. Android Programming										

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Maps and Sets
	Le all of it.
	Software Development methodologies
	I think I will find the understanding of abstraction to be the most useful in the near future.
	basic coding knowledge (I am switching majors)
	Everything on Android was useful because it is essentially a different computing language, so being forced to learn it was very useful.
	Android programming.
	The whole inheritance section will probably come in pretty handy
	I will not be continuing with computer science but I liked the real world experience of actually making an app.
	The final project
	The software development processes and the complexities lesson
	All the vocab stuff related to software development beyond coding.
	android
	android
	android
	Android app programming because so many people use apps for a variety of reasons and it was interesting as well as useful/helpful to be able to work with a project based upon Android.
	Software Development, Luther is a great explainer
	Android, easily. I would have preferred we spent much more time on this and less on other less applicable objectives (models and methodology, algorithm analysis, maps and sets, etc.)
	Data Structures
	Collections framework
	Android development because I can make my own apps now in the future.
	probably the same as listed above, software engineering and OOD, because I do not think I will pursue a career that involves as much actual coding, but maybe more system design
	Probably testing, though I will use what we did with android a lot more.
	The Android project was very helpful, not just in the sense of becoming skilled with the Android API, but also in knowing how to apply a software development model to a team setting.
	Algorithm analysis
	Android.
	Android.
	Probably the topics on how software development actually works
	Android programming
	Interfaces It seemed the most useful.
	Android, and Swing
	Android programming.
	Android project
	probably data structures
	Scrum style programming

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~									
	the OO design, it's a way of thinking through problems, even problems not related to CS.									
	Probably algorithms or inheritance									
	interfaces because they seem easily applicable									
	I think that data structures and inheritance will probably be quite useful, as they form a basis for writing more efficient and more easily maintainable code.									
	maybe algorithm and the part we're using to do project									
	Android and software development process									
	heap and stack, trees									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Android									
	Testing code.									
	Probably recursion because of the logical thinking it fosters									
	Software models and methods including the associated techniques. Operating systems come and go, but good programming is good programming.									
	ANdroid									
	Android. See previous.									
	I honestly wouldn't know at this point									
	Scrum because it is widely used									
5. What lecture/topic(s) in this class "did not work" or were not seen as	Results for CS-2110-003, Tychonievich, Luther									
useful in the long run?	Total Individual Answers 73 See below for Individual Results									
Question Type: Short Answer										
contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)										
	jUnit testing.									
	Development models									
	Everything taught was pretty useful. Maybe the least useful was GUI but that's still important									
	The Object Oriented Design did not seem useful because I do not want to do anything with computer science.									

we did not spend enough time on java for the size of the project we were assigned.

I thought that all of the topics were useful and worked well in this class. I would not remove any of them.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Maps
	Android was a bit of a stretch for this class to include the way it did; either start teaching bits and pieces from the beginning, or remove it altogether.
	Coverage of Swing felt a bit gratuitous having just done Android, and we were running out of time at that point so we did not get to practice it.
	Abstract Classes
	none
	none
	none
	I do not believe I will ever code in Android again.
	Quizzes
	Code Development process
	When it got to the point in the course where we were discussing what would apply to future courses I questioned why Systems Engineers had to take this class, it felt like a class for people who were majoring or minoring in computer science.
	Swing
	Swing
	Swing
	Maybe the Swing stuff
	Android App. Android App. Android App. Why? WHY? WHY? I don't want my second computer science course at UVa to be a silly exercise with Google Maps and chasing ghosts. The first two weeks, when Luther jumped into the Java Review, explaining the stacks and heaps and object whatnot, had some of the best lesson of the course. The algorithm analysis, the review of different types of lists, and the polymorphic lessons were wonderful, and throwing that stupid Android project in the end leaves me with a disgusting taste in my mouth.
	Everything taught in this class is probably useful in the long run.
	they all worked, but as a non-CS major, I don't know if any will be of particular use
	HW4 was confusing and it did not greatly enhance my understanding of sets/maps.
	Maps and Sets
	Pair programming.
	N/A
	XML/Throwing us into android programming with arguably unreasonable expectations
	The review in the beginning of the class was too slow.
	android
	The "learning" done in labs. Labs were a good buffer grade but I didn't actually learn much.
	Nodes
	Android because it came out of nowhere
	All lectures were carried out well
	android
	Android took too much time, it was interesting and valuable but a major time commitment
	Swing, felt forced
	Can't think of anything in particular

Could probably condense the information on Sets/Maps.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Iterator/ Blatherer was kind of useless.
	Swing because we did not use it.
	ANDROID
	Some of the broader ideas about software development
	Android.
	Most dialogue about computer theory, like heaps v. stacks and other in depth stuff, "did not work."
	None
	None
	There should have been more lecture about Android.
	Blatherer was kind of weird. As was the playlist
	Android was not really all that well covered. It is useful, but I still find myself confused about it.
	Android
	Android was really confusing
	Cons to Android project - should have introduced more topics on Android development if going to pursue this project again in the future and allowed more time (maybe start it earlier)
	More time should have been spend on Android since, while it uses Java, it is essentially it's own language.
	I have no problems with this, but the java.swing lesson didn't see much use. I don't think most students would remember it very well if tested after the course.
	Recursion (though it is interesting) and the really in depth collections api stuff
	Swing. There were too many swing lectures in my opinion.
	I'm sure the complexity topic will be useful later, but for now I found it unnecessary.
	Algorithm Analysis was dreadfully boring to me.
	Nothing didn't work. There were things I already knew from my AP computer science class last year but there were others in the class who did not know those topics already so I can't say that those topics did not work.
	n/a
	n/a
	Labs need to be better organized and explained
	Although I know that it is important to understand software development methodologies (like Scrum and etc), I think that we could have had less emphasis on this topic (especially during the Android project), since when we start working, we will most likely adopt the methodology of the workplace, and previous knowledge about other methodologies would probably just serve as interesting auxiliary information.
	Android
	hw3 media player accessories
	HW 1-3 on Media Player
	nothing
	nothing
	The project
	Video/Media Player. It was weird having to keep on swapping partners for new codes.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~					~ ANSWER M	AATRICES ~				
6. The initial java review was	Results for 0	CS-2110-003	, Tychoni	evicł	h, Luther					
Question Type: Multiple Choice	Total	unnec (N	essary IA)	us	eful, but too slow (NA)	just right (NA)		useful, fa (N	but too ast IA)	insufficient (NA)
contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat/h)	92	(5.4	5 13%)		19 (20.65%)	55 (59.78%)		12 (13.04%)		1 (1.09%)
	Results for S	SEAS. 2000-	level cour	ses						
	Total	unnec (N	unnecessary (NA)		eful, but too slow (NA)	just right (NA)		useful, but too fast (NA)		insufficient (NA)
	152 7 28 86 (4.61%) (18.42%) (56.58%)						2 (15.	24 79%)	7 (4.61%)	
7. How accurate is this statement for	Results for C	CS-2110-003	, Tychoni	evic	h, Luther					
you: I am glad android was included in the course materials.	Total	Mean	Std De	əv	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	N	leutral (3)	Disagre (2)	e Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert ~ contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)	91	3.95	1.22		38 (41.76%)	30 (32.97%)	(1	10 0.99%)	6 (6.59%) (7.69%)
	Results for S	SEAS. 2000-	level cour	ses						
	Total Mean Std Dev		€V	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree Ne (4) (leutral (3)	Disagre (2)	e Strongly Disagree (1)	
	151	3.79	1.33		60 (39.74%)	45 (29.80%)	(9	15 9.93%)	16 (10.60%	(1) (15 (9.93%)
8. How would you rate the helpfulness	SS Results for CS-2110-003, Tychonievich, Luther									
of the TAs?	Total	Mean	Std De	əv	Excellent	Good	A	verage	Weak	Very Poor
Question Type: Likert ~ contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)	92	92 2.55 1.00 16 (17.39%)		16 (17.39%)	37 (40.22%)	(2	21 2.83%)	18 (19.57%	(0) (0.00%)	
	Results for S	SEAS, 2000-	level cour	ses						
	Total Mean Std E		Std De	ev	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	A	verage (2)	Weak (1)	Very Poor (0)
	152	2.66	1.01		30 (19.74%)	68 (44.74%)	(1	30 9.74%)	21 (13.82%	3 (1.97%)
9. How often did vou make use of the	Results for (S-2110-003	Tychoni	evict	h Luther					
TA office hours?	Total	Every	/ week	E	very other	Once pe	r	Ra	rely	Never
Question Type: Multiple Choice		()	NA)		week (NA)	assignment (NA)		(INA)		(NA)
contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)	92	(8.7	8 70%)		14 (15.22%)	15 (16.30%))	2 (31.5	29 52%)	26 (28.26%)
	Results for S	SEAS, 2000-	level cour	ses						
	Total	Every (N	/ week IA)	E	very other week (NA)	Once per assignment (NA)		t (NA)		Never (NA)
	152	(13.	20 16%)		27 (17.76%)	31 (20.39%))	3 (24.3	37 34%)	37 (24.34%)
10. Any specific comments about the	Results for C	CS-2110-003	, Tychoni	evicł	h, Luther					
TAS you would like to share?	Total				 	ndividual Ans	swer	S		
Question Type: Short Answer ~ contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)	52				366 De		Juai	Results		
	Learn more Awesome T During the <i>i</i> with the pro They were a Need more	on android TAs this sem Android proje blem solving amazing. TAs.	ester! ect, many g on a sor	of th newh	ne TA's did no hat foreign pla	t have enoug tform very fri	ıh kn ustra	owledge ting.	and this r	nade dealing

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	One told me that my code was wrong, I should debug it, and left.
	David was great.
	For the most part, they were pretty helpful, but during lab it always seemed like there was either a lack of communication between professors and TAs about what would be happening in lab or there was a lack of preparation by TAs.
	They were good and made a good effort to help you learn and not just tell you the answer.
	The TAs were not very helpful in lab.
	none
	They were very helpful.
	hardly any of the TAs knew anything about Android and weren't much help for the project
	Some TA's are not qualified in helping homework
	Most of them didn't really help.
	N/A
	N/A
	I know the TA's were trying to help everyone during office hours but sometimes they spent too much time on one person multiple times and it was a waste of time for me to even attend
	Some not very helpful. Sometimes were more clueless than I was.
	Most weren't very helpful
	The TAs were quite patient, especially with Android and Blatherer. The TAs were willing to help my group debug our code (if there were not a lot of other groups in the queue), which was really helpful, since our code had become quite involved and (occasionally) harder to trace and understand.
	Will seemed cool. I didn't really have much need for ta help though.
	nope.
	sometimes unprepared and the queue can really be the bane of any students' existence on certain days and sometimes there was only one TA for many students who needed help on an assignment and not all students were able to be helped
	They were good
	Rachel and Jenna were very friendly and helpful.
	The TAs were great but often, they couldn't help me. Also one time, I was the first person in the queue 10 minutes before a TA's OH ended and a few people walked up and asked for help and so he didn't get to me before he left when his OH ended which was a bit frustrating since I had been waiting for more than two hours. Overall though, the TAs are great and could answer a lot of conceptual questions and a few coding ones. They seem to rely on the answer key for the coding problems more than they relied on their own knowledge.
	They were really nice and helpful in lab
	For the Android project, most TAs didn't seem to have any more experience than we did with things like Google Maps. I don't necessarily think it's the TAs fault and I know Google Maps wasn't required, but I think it'd make future projects easier if all of their potential challenges could be aided by TAs
	Undergrad TA's were approachable and engaged, but didn't have the knowledge necessary to solve problems, especially with HW. The graduate TA was more helpful
	None
	In the android lab, the TA's would come by when you asked for help, and almost every time their response was: "Oh, I didn't do that in my course, sorry" Isn't the purpose of the TA's to actually have some Pre-Knowledge of the course material? Seriously, it was rather frustrating to get almost no help in terms of actual programming. I got lucky in that I had an excellent group which met regularly and worked out the understanding, but It was much harder.
	Justin was very approachable and helpful.
	The sum of the field burned as a sum of the

TAs were very helpful. I would recommend however that more office hours are held closer to assignment submissions since it became difficult to meet with TAs during this time due to the increase in number of students requiring help.

Axel was the best TA for CS2110 by far

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATR	ICES ~				
	None.									
	Nothing n	najor.								
	There we much aid.	re few TAs	that were ac	tually very h	nelpful. Man	y were inef	fective and	unable to p	rovide	
	Nope!									
	They app the most	eared to not help.	t be knowlec	lgeable abo	ut Android, v	which was t	he project th	at made us	require	
	They should know android if we have to									
	One of them was good. Fluency in the language the class is taught on should be a requirement for the TAs. Only one of four knew android. You would never hire a Spanish TA that didn't understand Spanish. What's up? n/a									
	some of them were very knowledgeable, but some couldn't provide as much help as others TAs were not as helpful as in CS 1110									
	they dont know what theyre doing									
	GOOD									
	Nearly impossible to get help at Office Hours. I stopped going, because I would wait for hours and never get help. (I was once there for five hours and never got to see a TA). One time when I did get to see a TA in office hours they said they didn't know how to help me. They also often couldn't help me when I had trouble in lab. However, they were very nice and friendly.									
	Not really									
	In regards	s to android	, I felt that m	ost of the T	As knew abo	out as much	as I did.			
	TAs were	good.								
11 The course addressed technically	Deculto for	<u>CC 2440 (</u>	000							
rigorous subject matter consistent with	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not	
Ouestion Type: Likert				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)	
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	91	4.18	0.74	31 (34.07%)	48 (52.75%)	9 (9.89%)	3 (3.30%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
and Applied Science	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cour	ses						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
	2902	4.41	0.67	1432 (49.35%)	1271 (43.80%)	145 (5.00%)	35 (1.21%)	7 (0.24%)	12 (0.41%)	
12. The instructor used methods other	Results for	CS-2110-0	03, Tychoni	evich, Luthe	er					
than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, in-	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
class discussion) effectively in this course.	92	4.26	0.84	41 (44.57%)	39 (42.39%)	8 (8.70%)	3 (3.26%)	1 (1.09%)	0 (0.00%)	
\tilde{Q} uestion Type: Likert	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cour	ses						
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	

4.06

1.04

3264

12441161357196(38.11%)(35.57%)(10.94%)(6.00%)

104 (3.19%) 202 (6.19%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~										
13. There was a reasonable level of	Results for	CS-2110-0)03								
effort expected for the credit hours received.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
Question Type: Likert	91	4.04	1.01	32 (35.16%)	44	5	7	3	0		
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science				(33.1078)	(40.0070)	(3.4370)	(1.0370)	(0.0070)	(0.0078)		
	Results for	SEAS, 200	00-level cou	rses							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
	2904	4.20	0.90	1229 (42.32%)	1263 (43.49%)	213 (7.33%)	136 (4.68%)	55 (1.89%)	8 (0.28%)		
14. The homework assignments helped	ments helped Results for CS-2110-003										
me learn the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not		
Question Type: Likert		moun		Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)		
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	91	4.24	0.66	32 (35.16%)	50 (54.95%)	8 (8.79%)	1 (1.10%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		
11	Results for	SEAS 200		200							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable		
	2900	4.24	0.86	(3) 1237 (42.66%)	1170 (40.34%)	269 (9.28%)	92 (3.17%)	40 (1.38%)	92 (3.17%)		
15 The textback increased my		00.0440.0									
understanding of the material.	Results for	CS-2110-0	Std Dov	Strongly	Agroo	Neutral	Disagroo	Strongly	Not		
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Sid Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)		
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	92	3.08	1.12	7 (7.61%)	29 (31.52%)	29 (31.52%)	16 (17.39%)	10 (10.87%)	1 (1.09%)		
	Results for	SEAS, 200	0-level cou	rses							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
	2897	3.54	1.14	551 (19.02%)	833 (28.75%)	626 (21.61%)	308 (10.63%)	149 (5.14%)	430 (14.84%)		
16. The course material was well		00 0440 0									
organized and developed.	Results for	CS-2110-0	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not		
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Old Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)		
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	92	4.14	0.81	34 (36.96%)	40 (43.48%)	15 (16.30%)	3 (3.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		
	Posulte for	SEAS 200		2005							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not		
				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)		
	3259	4.15	0.93	1271 (39.00%)	1236 (37.93%)	365 (11.20%)	140 (4.30%)	58 (1.78%)	189 (5.80%)		
17. The instructor was knowledgeable	Results for	CS-2110-0	03, Tychoni	evich, Luthe	ər						
about the subject matter. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
~ contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	92	4.76	0.45	71 (77.17%)	20 (21.74%)	1 (1.09%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		
	Results for	SEAS 200		200							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not		
				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)		
	3255	4.54	0.75	2017 (61.97%)	829 (25.47%)	155 (4.76%)	50 (1.54%)	31 (0.95%)	173 (5.31%)		

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
18. The instructor was well prepared	Results for CS-2110-003, Tychonievich, Luther								
for class. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	92	4.58	0.56	56 (60.87%)	33 (35.87%)	3 (3.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Deculto for								
	Results for	SEAS, 200	Std Dov	Ses	Agroo	Noutral	Disagroo	Strongly	Not
	Total	Mean	Sid Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	3254	4.39	0.81	1668 (51.26%)	1061 (32.61%)	237 (7.28%)	66 (2.03%)	33 (1.01%)	189 (5.81%)
19. I received adequate preparation	Results for	CS-2110-0	003						
from the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	92	4.00	0.90	23 (25.00%)	51 (55.43%)	8 (8.70%)	3 (3.26%)	3 (3.26%)	4 (4.35%)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	Results for	SEAS 200		202					
ana Appilea science	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
	2897	3.95	0.97	787 (27.17%)	1095 (37.80%)	443 (15.29%)	141 (4.87%)	66 (2.28%)	365 (12.60%)
20. The grading policy was fair.	Results for	CS-2110-0	03 Tychon	evich Luthe	or.				
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	91	4.12	0.77	28 (30.77%)	50 (54.95%)	10 (10.99%)	2 (2.20%)	(1) 1 (1.10%)	0 (0.00%)
	Poculto for	SEAS 200							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Stronaly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Stronaly	Not
				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	3261	4.10	0.89	1122 (34.41%)	1352 (41.46%)	424 (13.00%)	123 (3.77%)	48 (1.47%)	192 (5.89%)
21. The instructor responded	Results for	CS-2110-0	03, Tychoni	evich, Luthe	ər				
adequately to in-class questions.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	91	4.27	0.93	47 (51.65%)	29 (31.87%)	9 (9.89%)	5 (5.49%)	1 (1.10%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS. 200	0-level cou	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	3255	4.29	0.85	1481 (45.50%)	1159 (35.61%)	284 (8.73%)	90 (2.76%)	40 (1.23%)	201 (6.18%)
22. The instructor effectively used	Results for	CS-2110-0)03. Tvchoni	evich. Luthe	er				
technology in support of the learning goals for this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	91	4.51	0.58	50 (54.95%)	37 (40.66%)	4 (4.40%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
and Applied Science	Desite f								
	Results for	SEAS, 200	Std Dov	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	3252	4.18	0.86	1264 (38.87%)	1263 (38.84%)	389 (11.96%)	109 (3.35%)	31 (0.95%)	196 (6.03%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
23. The average number of hours per	Results for	CS-2110-003							
week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:	Total Less		than 1 IA)		1 - 3 (NA)	4 - 6 (NA)	7 (N	- 9 IA)	10 or more (NA)
Question Type: Multiple Choice	92 <u>3</u> (3.26%)		(19 20.65%)	56 (60.87%)	1 (11.9	1 96%)	3 (3.26%)	
contributed by Office of the Provost	Results for SEAS 2000-level courses								
	Total Less than 1			1 - 3	4 - 6	7	- 9	10 or more	
	2907	(N 1	NA) 63		(NA) 898	(NA) 1236	(N 4	IA) 20	(NA) 190
		(5.6	61%)	(30.89%)	(42.52%)	(14.4	45%)	(6.54%)
24. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-2110-003							
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std De	əv	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly
contributed by Office of the Provost					(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
	91	4.09	0.94		33 (36.26%)	42 (46.15%)	9 (9.89%)	5 (5.49%)	2 (2.20%)
	Results for 3	SEAS, 2000-	level cour	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std De	əv	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2897	4.24	0.86	i	1291 (44.56%)	1171 (40.42%)	307 (10.60%)	87 (3.00%)	41 (1.42%)
25. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	28-2110-003							
course. Ouestion Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
contributed by Office of the Provost	92	4.07	0.97		35	37	13	5	(1)
					(38.04%)	(40.22%)	(14.13%)	(5.43%)	(2.17%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	level cour	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2899	4.19	0.94		1306 (45.05%)	1084 (37.39%)	324 (11.18%)	126 (4.35%)	59 (2.04%)
26. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-2110-003	, Tychoni	evich	, Luther				
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
Question Type: Likert	91	4.33	0.63		37 (40.66%)	48 (52.75%)	5 (5.49%)	1 (1.10%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for 3	SEAS 2000-	level cour	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
	3241	4.24	0.85		(3) 1408 (43.44%)	1373 (42.36%)	350 (10.80%)	47 (1.45%)	63 (1.94%)
27. The instructor was approachable	Results for	28-2110-003	Tychoni	evich	Luther				
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
Question Type: Likert	92	4.04	0.91		29	47	9	5	(1)
contributed by Office of the Provost					(31.52%)	(51.09%)	(9.78%)	(5.43%)	(2.17%)
	Results for	SEAS, 2000-	level cour	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std De	ev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	3247	4.20	0.92		1482 (45.64%)	1159 (35.69%)	456 (14.04%)	86 (2.65%)	64 (1.97%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
28. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for (CS-2110-003	, Tychonievic	h, Luther					
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
contributed by Office of the Provost	92	4.32	0.74	43 (46.74%)	36 (39.13%)	12 (13.04%)	1 (1.09%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for S	SEAS 2000-1	level courses						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
	3260	4.16	0.99	1488 (45.64%)	1110 (34.05%)	437 (13.40%)	134 (4.11%)	91 (2.79%)	
29. Please make any overall comments or observations about this course:	Results for (CS-2110-003				Swore			
~ Ouestion Type: Short Answer	45			See be	low for Individ	dual Results			
contributed by Office of the Provost									
	Systems E	ngineers sho	uld not have t	o take this co	urse				
	Very intere	sting course!							
	I loved this class. It was stressful at times but the success of making a good program always overcame that.								
	Great class. I want to take another class from Bro T someday.								
	Glad CS is over. The exposure to the material will probably help me at some point in the near future								
	I felt very unprepared for the Android project although it was fun to do. I'm not sure if the purpose was for us to teach ourselves by Google-ing tutorials on android development sites but it was very stressful not knowing how to do anything with an approaching deadline. I also feel that the material could be taught a little more slowly/in depth because there are quite a few confusing concepts like Collections for example.								
	I don't think the regrade policy is very fair. I think it should be amended so that instead of losing 2 points automatically just for submitting a regrade, you lose two points if your regrade was judged to me trivial. As far as android was concerned I feel as though we were thrown to the wolves as it were.								
	While this was the intended effect, I a little more guidance would have been appreciated.								
	nah								
	knows his stuff very well, but occasionally can come off as condescending if a question is asked or topic is brought up that he may find easy but a student may find difficult or may have never learned before								
	This class should involve more coding, should be made more engineering.								
	Great course, great teacher. Luther is the man and should be coveted here.								
	I thought it	was unfair to	have a 2 poi	nts deduction	for submitting	g regrades.			
	Prof Tycho to measurii very close appreciated a CS major prior. I did I that I can o like Tychor Tychonievi	nievich was a ng up to my h to that bar. I f d. He didn't b and I have la nate android nly get A's in nievich. I don' ch.	a great profes high school CS frequented his elittle me eve oved CS for a with a passion my CS cours t think I would	sor. I honestly S professor w s office hours n though the p while but Tyo n but I loved t ses and it's tru d have loved t	/ did not think ho made me i and he was a oroblems I ha chonievich ma he course ove e, and even r his class as n	a professor of love CS, but [–] always willing ad probably we ade me love C erall. I always more so beca nuch I did if I	could even co Tychonievich to help which ere very silly t CS even more joke with my use I have pro- didn't have	me close is very I greatly to him. I am than I had friends ofessors	
	if (courseTa	aughtByTych	onievich){	iWillTakeIt = 1	true; }				
	I loved Pro which was to fly out be presenting	fessor Tychol scheduled be efore a projec earlier anywa	nievich in-clas efore the class at presentation ays.)	ss, but he mao s, and it cost a n, and he wou	de me re-sch about \$837 wi Idn't allow us	edule a Thanl hich was ridic to present ea	ksgiving plane ulous. I was s arlier. (We en	e flight supposed ided up	
	Overall it w be focused subject ma study the o	as a fair cour on the mater tter on the fin Id material.	rse I was just rial from the la aal. It didn't fee	surprised by t ast part of the el all encompa	the final beca course I did r assing it felt li	use, though tl not expect the ke it was help	ney warned u are to be that ing students	s it would little who didn't	

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~					
	WebCat was very frustrating					
	HW4 was very confusing.					
	Please make sure the TA's are pre-prepped in the android required for the current year's project. They really are our lifeline.					
	Although I liked Professor Tychonievich, I really benefited from Professor Basit's ppt slides when reviewing concepts, and I didn't realize we could access them until halfway through the semester.					
	Luther is an awesome instructor!					
	Professor Tychonievich is very knowledgable about the subjects that he addressed, and I really appreciated how he was able to find alternate methods to explain the material if I was unable to understand the first method of explanation, especially during the binary trees and algorithms lectures. I also like how class is opened with questions, and the fact that if Professor Tynchonievich is in his office and is available, he is willing to explain material outside of the allotted office hours times.					
	The course was very informative.					
	Tychonievich has lots of enthusiasm. He probably convinced a lot of kids to major or consider CS as a possible major. Probably not good for the oversaturated CS department (needs more money, UVA), but good for his students.					
	Tychonievich occasionally used keyboard shortcuts and generally quick typing to make following along in class difficult at times. Overall, he was helpful and understanding.					
	I thought it was great.					
	The Android project too large quantities of time, even after the initial confusion settled					
	The policy of deducting points for unsuccessful grade appeals is ridiculous and really just makes the department seem lazy. Students should not have to hesitate to seek clarification for test answers. If you really feel that you canât handle grade appeals, at the very least make the policy that you only lose points for submissions judged excessive or frivolous. Maybe that was always the intention, but students should not be discouraged from submitting legitimate grade appeals in the first place. Especially when we were told that the TAâs had to rush through test grading.					
	Professor Tychonievich was very insightful but I think his grand intellectual abilities often went over my head. I think that he was an amazing professor nonetheless, I just wish I was as astute as he was in understanding the computer science material.					
	Luther Tychonievich: absolutely cool, awesome and adorable lol					
	Should be able to test out of it. TJ APCS encompasses everything that is taught in CS2110 excluding Android					
	More about android could have been taught in the class. Students were taught almost nothing in class about android and then given a project requiring knowledge of the untaught language. If the idea is to make us learn trial by fire without any support (TA lecture or textbook) why do we need to pay for this class. The TAs did not have fluency in the language, the teacher did not teach the topic.					
	N/A					
	I love Professor Tychonievich's style. He is engaging, knowledgeable, and a joy to learn from.					
	Luther is a great teacher. I would love to see him with even more latitude and autonomy. He has a great handle on where his students are and addresses them appropriately. Excellent professor!					
	I loved the professor but I don't feel like spending that much time on an Android project which I feel like I will never utilize in the future was effective.					
	Tychonievich keep up the poetry writing! It's fun to have quick little odd questions / poems / songs at the beginning of class and helps keep us awake/interested					
	Instructions on homeworks could be more clear.					
	One of the most connected professors I've ever had. Tychonievich makes a large class feel smaller and participation easier.					
	Great course, although I struggled with the work load and decided to switch majors I enjoyed the lectures.					
	The course wasmore useful than I expected it to be					
	Professor T. is probably one of the most knowledgeable teachers I've ever encountered. In my opinion, he should teach not only computer graphics but also many other higher-level courses as well. Extremely pleased with how he handled class. Even though he was a difficult teacher, I felt like I was learning a ton from the lectures.					

I thoroughly enjoyed this course, and am looking forward to using what I learned here throughout my academic and professional career.

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
worth more credits.