## CS 3330-001 Computer Architecture - Fall 2015

## ENGR (16938)

INSTRUCTORS: Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)

Respondents: 50 / Enrollment: 168

Summary: CS 3330-001 Computer Architecture - Fall	2015 (16938)									
Overall Course Rating			Overall	Inst	ructor Ratin	g				
CS-3330-001 Mean 4.20 CS-3330-001 Std Dev 0.95 CS-3330-001 Response Count 250	<i>INSTRUCTOR:</i> Tychonievich, Luther Mean 4.33 Std Dev 0.85 Response Count 349									
Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations	-2     -1     0     1     2       Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations     -2     -1     0     1     2       0.20     0.30     0.30									
SEAS, 3000-level courses Mean 3.99 SEAS, 3000-level courses Std Dev 1.01 SEAS, 3000-level courses Response Count 10576	SEAS, 3000-level courses Mean 4.03 SEAS, 3000-level courses Std Dev 1.01 6 SEAS, 3000-level courses Response Count 16074									
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~					~ ANSWER I	MATRICES ~				
1. How often did you make use of the	Results for (	CS-3330-001	, Tychonie	evich	n, Luther					
<b>TA office hours?</b> Question Type: Multiple Choice	Total Ever		week IA)	Every other week (NA)		Once per assignment (NA)		Rarely (NA)		Never (NA)
~ contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)	50	(4.0	2 10%)	(	5 (10.00%)	7 (14.00%)		10 (20.00%)		26 (52.00%)
	Results for S	SEAS, 3000-I	evel cours	ses						
	Total	Every (N	Every week (NA)		Every other Onc week assig (NA) (N		Once per Rai assignment (N (NA)		rely IA)	Never (NA)
	50	(4.0	2 00%)		5 (10.00%)	7 (14.00%)		10 (20.00%)		26 (52.00%)
2. How would you rate the availability	Results for (	CS-3330-001	. Tvchonie	evich	n. Luther					
of TAs?	Total	Mean	Std De	٧	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Av	rerage (2)	Weak (1)	Very Poor (0)
contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)	50	2.76	0.92		11 (22.00%)	21 (42.00%)	(26	13 6.00%)	5 (10.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for S	SEAS, 3000-I	evel cours	ses						
	Total Mean		Std De	٧	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Av	rerage (2)	Weak (1)	Very Poor (0)
	50	2.76	0.92		11 (22.00%)	21 (42.00%)	(26	13 5.00%)	5 (10.00%)	0 (0.00%)
3. How would you rate the helpfulness	Results for (	CS-3330-001	. Tychonie	evich	n, Luther					
of the TAs?	Total	Mean	Std De	V	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Av	rerage (2)	Weak (1)	Very Poor (0)
Question Type: Likert	50	2.70	0.99		13	15	(32	16	6	0

contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)

			(20.0076)	(30.0078)	(32.0078)	(12.0076)	(0.0078)
esults for \$	SEAS. 3000-I	evel courses					
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Excellent	Good (3)	Average	Weak	Very Poc
50	2.70	0.99	13	15	16	6 (12.00%)	0

4. Any specific comments about the TAs	Results for CS-3330-001, Tychonievich, Luther						
you would like to share?	Total	Individual Answers					
Question Type: Short Answer	30	See below for Individual Results					
contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)							

Never used them, please disregard my answers.

David should be a professor--he explains things really well, and has a very engaging manner when addressing the class.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	Certain TAs were much more knowledgeable about the class than others, to the point that certain TAs were not useful in answering questions.
	no
	no
	Not really.
	The helpfulness of the TAs varied by which TA you spoke with. Some TAs are extremely knowledgeable and helpful, while others are not. There is at least one TA who probably should not be a TA for this particular course because in both office hours and my lab section, he would frequently give me incorrect answers when I asked questions which only confused me more and would set me back in the assignment until I realized he was wrong.
	make sure they know how to do the lab before lab
	nope
	Our lab section, Wednesday 6:30, had two TAs. One of them, I think her name was Molly, was really good and answered our question well. The other, who I think was an international students, wasn't very good and couldn't answer most of our questions.
	They were super helpful, but perhaps less so during HCL.
	TAs were knowledgable and helpful
	The TA's did not seem to always know the answer to questions during lab, however I feel the professor was to blame in this case since they sometimes seemed unfamiliar with the tools that he developed.
	Most TAs were really good. One or two were not.
	TA's would spend long times with single students (20+ minutes) when office hours were fairly full.
	A few times in lab, they just didn't know how to do the lab.
	Many of the TAs were extremely helpful, especially with respect to homework assignments. However, there were a few TAs I encountered either at office hours or during lab who did not seem to know the material well at all for a select few of the assignments. When a TA does not know the material well enough to at least point me in the correct direction, I end up wasting time in either lab or office hours. Perhaps the TAs should be required to familiarize themselves with an assignment and its concepts prior to the lab or assignment. I'm sure many TAs do prepare well; there were only a select few who were consistently unhelpful.
	Some of the TAs were definitely better than others. TAs were either extremely helpful and qualified, or were unable to answer questions.
	They really wanted our code to be successful. It was pretty great. Also nice how many different hours of the day they were available. Easy to work into any schedule. They did tend to spend lots of time on specific people, which was really nice when it was me, but often made it not worth showing up to office hours if I wasn't there in the first 10 minutes.
	I'm not sure if the TAs couldn't or weren't allowed to be more helpful in labs, but a lot of the time they only provided very vague answers to my questions, which was far less helpful than previous CS Lab TAs I've had.
	Some TA's knew more than others, especially about the hcl which was the hardest part in my opinion.
	There were definitely some knowledgeable TAs, but others whose responses to my questions showed a clear lack of understanding. I know that there is a lot of change in assignments from semester to semester, so please make sure that ALL of your TAs understand the assignments/labs.
	N/A
	no.
	Many of the TA's are just amazing! Especially, please tell David that he should consider being a professorwhen he talked for a few minutes during the class you were absent at, he was AMAZING. Teaching really came naturally to him.
	Νο
	n/a
	Molly was the most helpful TA, and she went above and beyond the minimum required work to make sure that people know how to do the homework and material.
	lordan is the man

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~							
	The TAs were f out. I think that	antastic. Many of them stayed beyond their scheduled office hours to help students is very, very cool.						
5. What would you suggest we change	Results for CS-3	3330-001, Tychonievich, Luther						
about this course in the future?	Total	Individual Answers						
Question Type: Short Answer $\sim$	24 See below for Individual Results							
contributed by Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)								
	Better acclimati difficult to work covered in prev	ion or instructions for setting up a work environment on home computers. It was often on homework outside of labs. SSH was assumed as a skill even though it is never rious courses in the curriculum.						
	I feel like it was were given old to study.	I feel like it was just all over the place and the exam was ridiculously difficult. I felt mislead that we were given old exams to study with and then given an exam 3x harder than the ones we were using to study.						
	Lab 2 ha.							
	Present it in a r weakly connect almost seemed	nore straightforward manner, it jumped around a lot, also much of the material was ted at best. Perhaps try to bring everything together in the last week or two next time. It like we were throwing spaghetti at the wall to what would stick.						
	Talk a little mor	re about c in the beginning.						
	I would really so started homeword get a TA to look	I would really suggest that office hours be spread out more over the course of the week. Sometimes I started homework early, but got super stuck over the weekend and had to wait until Monday or so to get a TA to look at it, and by then office hours sometimes got very crowded.						
	The test questions are very difficult and I'm not suggesting the tests be made easier, but rather that they can genuinely assess what we know instead of trying to trick us.							
	Not make it required.							
	Definitely somehow improve the TAs. Please give some more resources for exams. I'm about to ta the final this morning and I don't feel prepared at all, yet I don't know how I could have prepared more. I know that these tests are trying to test how we 'learned the material', not just memorized, but many of the questions from previous exams felt very arbitrary and difficult to prepare for. Also, I strongly suggest double checking on wording/keys before releasing material. Some of the question are very poorly worded, both on quizzes and tests.							
	None							
	Have less bugs	before releasing software to the students.						
	I'd prefer HCL t tutorials, a stro	o be better explained; since it's a custom language *for this class* with no external ng set of documentation and examples, etc., would be greatly appreciated.						
	Perhaps expos exam at a point this year's ques useful than son department bas more TA office between softwa in class on the always sure wh purposely made with similar, but	ing students to more questions similar to the ones that will ultimately be put on the t earlier in the semester than the day of the exam (Professor Tychonievich said that stions were different from past years, which made review of past exams slightly less the students may have believed). Although this is probably beyond the control of the sed on the demand for classroom space and department resources, the possibility of hours throughout the week would be nice. Perhaps a little aside about the distinction are and hardware logic might be helpful. Additionally, perhaps a little more time spent functionality of HCL might be helpful - labs could be difficult at times because I was not at HCL could do and couldn't do. However, I do know that Professor Tychonievich has e documentation of HCL less extensive compared to what students may be used to t different, programming languages.						
	prepare for lab	in class						
	I would like to s operations requ did use many e	see a few more examples done in class. In some instances, we discussed the general uired to arrive at an answer without showing a concrete example. That said, the class examples and was overall well designed.						
	Honestly, I kno really don't like themselves, ha and made avail	w Professor Tychonievich said the textbook was the structured learning material, but I the book. I wish we had slides of some sort. And, well, it seems the authors, ve a slide set. Not super detailed, but a great learning aid that ought to be tweaked lable for this class.						
	As I stated abo hours on Friday started and hop beneficial.	ve, the TAs were mostly very helpful. My one complaint is that there were no office ys, or the weekend, which is when many students would like to get an assignment befully finished. In the future, at least a few office hours on the weekends might be						
	N/A							

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATE	PICES ~			
	If there's any assignment that involves continuing from a previous assignment, if it's past the due date, please provide a new starting point. I did horribly on the pipelining assignment because I couldn't finish part 1 and then just got nowhere with part 2 because of this. Also, a single example in the exact form of the rest of the assignment could go a long long way, like with the pipelining assignment and on the last memhw assignment, which I couldn't figure out at all without a specific example. The grading of the first two labs. The frequency of the homework should maybe be more consistent, too. The heavy emphasis on learning HCL seems strange since it's a language exclusive to the particular								
	I he heavy emphasis on learning HCL seems strange since it's a language exclusive to the particular textbook we use. I understand the importance of knowing the hardware behind the assembly code, but it was hard to focus on learning the concepts when I was spending so much time trying to avoid syntax errors with a language I will probably never use again.							particular y code, to avoid	
	Would sug errors and	gest one le struggled f	ss HCL lab or extended	. I know a lo I periods of	t of student time to fix ti	s, includin ny mistako	g myself, ge es.	ot tired of the s	syntax
	I think we s	spent too m	nuch time re	viewing 218	50.				
6. What portion of course material do	Deputto for	<u> </u>	01 Tuchoni	louiob Luth	o.r.				
you think should have been included in a required course?	Total	All (NA)	All but o or tw topic (NA)	one A ma o (N s	ijorty Abc A) (	out half NA)	A minority (NA)	Only one or two topics (NA)	None (NA)
contributed by Tychonievich Luther (lat7h)	50	11	) (28.00		2	6	3	3	1
	Results for Total	SEAS, 300 All (NA)	0-level cour All but o or tw topic (NA)	rses one A ma o (N s	ijorty Abc A) (	out half NA)	A minority (NA)	Only one or two topics (NA)	None (NA)
	50	11 (22.00%	) (28.00	%) (24.0	2 )0%) (12	6 .00%)	3 (6.00%)	3 (6.00%)	1 (2.00%)
7. The course addressed technically rigorous subject matter consistent with	Results for	CS-3330-0	01						
the course objectives.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutra (3)	I Disagre (2)	e Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	50	4.66	0.56	35	13	2			0
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering				(70.00%)	(20.00%)	(4.00%	)   (0.00%	6)   (0.00%)	(0.00%)
unu Applieu Science	Results for	SEAS, 300	0-level coui	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutra (3)	I Disagre (2)	e Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2119	4.32	0.76	940 (44.36%)	951 (44.88%)	142 (6.70%	40 ) (1.89%	) (0.90%)	27 (1.27%)
8. The instructor used methods other	Deputto for	<u> </u>	01 Tueboni	iovich Luth	or				
than/in addition to traditional lectures	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutra	Disagre	e Strongly	Not
(for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, in-		Wearr	Sid Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
class discussion) effectively in this course.	50	4.20	0.84	21 (42.00%)	19 (38.00%)	7 (14.00%	6) (4.00%	) (0.00%)	1 (2.00%)
Question Type: Likert	Results for	SEAS. 300	0-level cou	ses					
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutra (3)	I Disagre	e Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2304	3.78	1.14	684 (29.69%)	822 (35.68%)	402 (17.45%	214 6) (9.29%	) (5.12%)	64 (2.78%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
9. There was a reasonable level of effort	Deculto for		01						
expected for the credit hours received.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	50	4.10	1.11	(5) 23 (46.00%)	16 (32.00%)	7 (14.00%)	1 (2.00%)	(1) 3 (6.00%)	(NA) 0 (0.00%)
unu rippiteu Science									
	Results for	· SEAS, 300	0-level cour	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2121	3.99	1.07	754 (35.55%)	912 (43.00%)	185 (8.72%)	153 (7.21%)	95 (4.48%)	22 (1.04%)
10. The homework assignments helped	Results for	CS-3330-0	)01						
me learn the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
Question Type: Likert		4.00	0.01	(5)	4.4	6	2	(1)	(NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	50	4.30	0.91	27 (54.00%)	(28.00%)	6 (12.00%)	3 (6.00%)	0 (0.00%)	(0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 300	0-level cour	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2115	4.11	0.95	775 (36.64%)	820 (38.77%)	220 (10.40%)	99 (4.68%)	44 (2.08%)	157 (7.42%)
11. The textbook increased my	Deculto for		001						
understanding of the material.	Total	Moon	Std Dov	Strongly	Agroo	Noutral	Disagroo	Strongly	Not
Question Type: Likert	Iotai	Mean	Sid Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	50	4.24	0.77	19 (38.00%)	26 (52.00%)	4 (8.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS 300	)0-level cour	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2113	3.56	1.16	370 (17.51%)	569 (26.93%)	370 (17.51%)	200 (9.47%)	106 (5.02%)	498 (23.57%)
12 The course motorial was well									
organized and developed	Results for	· CS-3330-0	01, Tychoni	evich, Luthe	er	<b>N 1 1</b>	Di	<b>0</b> ; 1	
Question Type: Likert	Iotal	Mean	Std Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	Disagree (2)	Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	50	3.90	1.02	16 (32.00%)	19 (38.00%)	10 (20.00%)	4 (8.00%)	1 (2.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 300	)0-level cour	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2291	3.89	1.06	706 (30.82%)	947 (41.34%)	324 (14.14%)	188 (8.21%)	83 (3.62%)	43 (1.88%)
12. The instructor was knowledgeable									
about the subject matter.	Results for	CS-3330-0	01, Tychoni	evich, Luthe	Agroo	Noutral	Disagras	Strongly	Not
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	50	4.84	0.42	43 (86.00%)	6 (12.00%)	1 (2.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS 300	)0-level.cou	Ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
	2305	4.46	0.74	(5) 1293 (56.10%)	782 (33.93%)	133 (5.77%)	30 (1.30%)	(1) 20 (0.87%)	(INA) 47 (2.04%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
14. The instructor was well prepared	Results for	CS-3330-0	01. Tvchoni	evich. Luthe	er				
for class.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	50	4.56	0.70	(5) 33 (66.00%)	13 (26.00%)	3 (6.00%)	1 (2.00%)	0 (0.00%)	(INA) 0 (0.00%)
ana Appliea Science									
	Results for	SEAS, 300	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2296	4.23	0.89	1003 (43.68%)	933 (40.64%)	194 (8.45%)	76 (3.31%)	43 (1.87%)	47 (2.05%)
15. I received adequate preparation	Results for	CS-3330-0	001						
from the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	50	3.67	1.05	11 (22.00%)	20 (40.00%)	10 (20.00%)	7 (14.00%)	1 (2.00%)	1 (2.00%)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Results for	SEAS, 300	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2108	3.90	0.96	545 (25.85%)	893 (42.36%)	357 (16.94%)	113 (5.36%)	54 (2.56%)	146 (6.93%)
16. The grading policy was fair.	Poculte for	CS-3330-(	01 Tychoni	iovich Luthe	)r				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Stronaly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
Question Type: Likert $\sim$ <i>contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering</i>				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
and Applied Science	50	3.94	0.91	13 (26.00%)	26 (52.00%)	7 (14.00%)	3 (6.00%)	1 (2.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS 300	)0-level cou	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2299	3.84	1.04	636 (27.66%)	967 (42.06%)	389 (16.92%)	164 (7.13%)	90 (3.91%)	53 (2.31%)
17. The instructor responded	Posulte for	CS-3330-0	01 Tychon	iovich Lutho	)r				
adequately to in-class questions.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
Question Type: Likert				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	49	4.45	0.84	30 (61.22%)	14 (28.57%)	2 (4.08%)	3 (6.12%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 300	0-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2292	4.10	0.96	856 (37.35%)	978 (42.67%)	232 (10.12%)	113 (4.93%)	58 (2.53%)	55 (2.40%)
18. The instructor effectively used	Results for	CS-3330-0	)01. Tychoni	ievich Luthe	er				
technology in support of the learning goals for this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	50	4.42	0.70	26 (52.00%)	20 (40.00%)	3 (6.00%)	1 (2.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Dooulto for								
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
	IUIAI			Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2287	3.90	1.04	680 (29.73%)	898 (39.27%)	349 (15.26%)	160 (7.00%)	79 (3.45%)	121 (5.29%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~							
19. The average number of hours per	Results for	CS-3330-001						
week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:	Total	Less (N	than 1 IA)	1 - 3 (NA)	4 - 6 (NA)	7 (N	- 9 IA)	10 or more (NA)
Question Type: Multiple Choice	50 1 (2.00%)		5 (10.00%)	28 (56.00%)	1 (24.	2 00%)	4 (8.00%)	
contributed by Office of the Provost	Deculto for 1							
	Total	Less	than 1	es 1 - 3	4 - 6	7	- 9	10 or more
	2424	(N	IA)	(NA)	(NA)	()	IA)	(NA)
	2124	(6.0	28 13%)	(22.22%)	(38.14%)	(18.	88%)	(14.74%)
20. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-3330-001						
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	/ Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
contributed by Office of the Provost	50	4.24	0.82	22 (44.00%)	20 (40.00%)	6 (12.00%)	2 (4.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for \$	SEAS, 3000-I	evel cours	es				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	/ Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2103	4.04	0.98	757 (36.00%)	891 (42.37%)	287 (13.65%)	110 (5.23%)	58 (2.76%)
21. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-3330-001						
<b>course.</b> Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	/ Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
contributed by Office of the Provost	50	3.92	1.07	17	20 (40.00%)	6 (12.00%)	6 (12,00%)	1
			1		(1010070)	(1210070)	(1210070)	(2:0070)
	Results for	SEAS, 3000-I	evel cours	es	•		Di	
	lotal	Mean	Std Dev	Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2110	3.92	1.08	730 (34.60%)	822 (38.96%)	320 (15.17%)	145 (6.87%)	93 (4.41%)
22. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-3330-001	, Tychonie	vich, Luther				
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	/ Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert	49	4.47	0.68	27 (55.10%)	19 (38.78%)	2 (4.08%)	1 (2.04%)	0 (0.00%)
controlled by office of the Protost	Deputto for 1			~~				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	/ Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
	2287	4.15	0.84	828 (36.20%)	1118 (48.89%)	236 (10.32%)	68 (2.97%)	(1) 37 (1.62%)
23. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-3330-001	Tychonie	vich Luther				
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	/ Strongly Agree	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree
Question Type: Likert	50	4.36	0.88	28	15	4	3	0
contributed by Office of the Provost			,	(00.0076)	(00.0076)	(0.0076)	(0.0078)	(0.0076)
	Results for	SEAS, 3000-I	Std Do	es ( Stropaly	Agree	Neutral	Disagros	Strongly
	TOTAL	wean		Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)
	2301	4.07	0.96	884 (38.42%)	912 (39.63%)	341 (14.82%)	111 (4.82%)	53 (2.30%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
24. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for (	CS-3330-001	, Tychonievic	h, Luther					
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
contributed by Office of the Provost	50	4.40	0.76	26 (52.00%)	20 (40.00%)	2 (4.00%)	2 (4.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for 9	SEAS 3000-1							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Stronaly	Aaree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	
				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	
	2309	3.97	1.07	831 (35.99%)	928 (40.19%)	293 (12.69%)	155 (6.71%)	102 (4.42%)	
25. Please make any overall comments or observations about this course:	Results for CS-3330-001								
~ Question Type: Short Answer	28			See be	low for Individ	dual Results			
$\sim$ contributed by Office of the Provost									
	<ul> <li>curve will be applied to the exam. Furthermore, I feel that while the professor will take a lot of feedback, he sometimes seems to be more concerned with explaining why the feedback was wron and what he is doing is right instead of changing how he does things.</li> <li>Luther is the best teacher I've had in the CS department. I loved this class.</li> <li>Great transition into Operating Systems. Learned a great deal in this course. Got interesting during the exception handling and memory optimizations section.</li> <li>As a note to prior requirements - I did take all of the prerequisites for 3330, but also took digital log design and therefore felt well-prepared for the course. However, Professor Tychonievich had to sp a few days teaching the basics of digital logic design - perhaps it should also be a prerequisite. On another note, I do really enjoy Professor Tychonievich's lectures and believe he teaches very effectively. My largest complaint with the course was the first exam. Despite keeping up with the material and then having prepared rigorously for many days beforehand, I felt utterly unprepared for the east.</li> <li>Overall, the course was good and very challenging. I felt that the course was surprisingly difficult. I not think they were too difficul tout simply that I had become accustomed to a certain difficulty leve from previous courses. 2150 and algorithms were difficult but did not test a comparable level of understanding.</li> <li>Tychonievich is one of the only professors I have had at UVa who actually know how to teach an undergraduate class. Seriously.</li> <li>I think some of the material we learned was unnecessary. That being said, how could I possibly kn what if a due in the future. That being said, what use could possibly have for knowing "bad" we to map virtual memory to physical memory? That being said, hope you understand my point. Anywe I'm pretry much done with the course as a flaw day is possible some of the unnecessary thing solo addite to durine exam in a difficult prevere</li></ul>							or as wrong g during gital logic ad to spend site. On ery th the pared for it too much onymous I had put uld feel ifficult. I do ulty level el of ach an sibly know bad" ways cessary Anyway, doesn't mbed the what we robably asked	
	also very fu about a cer someone w also a reall Professor 7	Inny, very kn tain subject, /ho really has y cool guy.	owledgeable I was always a passion fo is an awesom	(Whenever Pr extremely sur r teaching cor ne professor.	ofessor Tych prised becau nputer scienc However, I fil	onievich said se he knows e. That is ext nd that there a	he did not kn so much!), ar remely admir are many time	ow much nd able. He's es where I	

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	This was a great class! I would highly recommend (and have) to other students. The frequency and difficulty of the homework could be more consistent. Like the first few weeks, we'd have a really hard homework one week and then not have homework for the next week.
	The amount of work presented in this class was fair given that it was a 3-credit class, even though there was more work than most other 3-credit CS classes.
	Very difficult course, but Luther made it worth coming to class. All of the assignments increased my understanding of the course material and improved my skill level.
	Tychonievich is okay. He places a bit too much stock in pedagogical research, and as such teaches in a very non-linear manner. That may work for most people, and is indeed the teaching method du jour, but I (and all my CS friends) prefer the more straight line method of teaching most other professors use. His style is not at all conducive to note taking, and it makes many topics more difficult to understand. He tries to teach in a circular manner, exposing us to each topic at the beginning, middle, and end of a class period, but I'd prefer it if he simply taught each topic at one time. Also, it should be noted that he teaches all CS students, we spend our time being taught how to think logically, one step at a time, but Tychonievich tries to teach us circularly. Personally, I understand CS because it functions in much the same way that I naturally understand the world, and I suspect the same is true of many people in the class. We all perceive things linearly and with causality, whether that is an accurate reflection of the world as a whole or not. As such, it seems like the best way to reach the most CS students would be to teach in a more straightforward manner, a la Professor Bloomfield. Other than his teaching style, the course as a whole was certainly a worthwhile endeavor and I feel I have learned quite a bit from it. That said, it certainly felt a bit random at times. We almost seemed to jump from topic to topic with nothing holding all the different topics together, except some fuzzy notion of them all being architecture related. As I stated above, it would be really nice if at the end of the year everything was wrapped up so that it all made sense together and didn't seem like such a hodgepodge of unrelated CS topics. I do think Tychonievich can be a great CS professor and deserves tenure. Yes, his teaching style needs to be improved upon, but that is absolutely something that can be learned! The way he approaches each class and the amount of time he spends preparing are things that
	Tychonievich is, as usual, quite a character. I took advantage of this by submitting a tremendous amount of anonymous feedback. The results were most entertaining, except when he kept censoring stuff. Why, Bro? Now, let's see. I liked most labs/homework, but HCL was a mountain to climb, mostly due to syntax and obscurities. Lectures could be good, but were much better when viewed later. I'm really glad he got video lectures working because they made a genuine difference. Audio + drawings only were deeply confusing before. I did learn quite a lot of stuff, though, which I'm happy about. Also, I honestly think exams should be online/take-home like quizzes. The reason is because most of us have little hope of getting above an unweighted 70% on the exams in their current state. I think it would be beneficial if we could sit down and actually think about the problems without being held back because we didn't remember minor details. See, I feel like taking practice exams from previous semesters was more beneficial to learning than the actual exam because we could think about the problems and work towards finding out what we didn't understand if we got it wrong. The questions are already designed to make you think (ie. a Control-F of the book won't yield you a direct answer). This class would definitely benefit if grading was eliminated. It's already pretty loose, why not drop it?
	Prof was kind of condescending and dismissive. Also, cancelling the second midterm was upsetting. I felt the labs/hws were often more of an excercise in pattern recognition/experimentation than anything useful. I feel the material tested on exam(-s)/quizzes was not always totally relevant to things discussed in class/the textbook. I think overall the class was made needlessly painful in a few ways.
	Professor Tychonievich is awesome and super approachable. He is very close to the top as far as my list of favorite professors goes.
	Professor Tychonievich knows what he's doing. Although I didn't love the actual course material, he teaches it well, and the class is well-structured, which makes up for it.
	Best teacher and class this semester. The time put into the class felt worth it. That said, if you had to cancel a midterm and delay work every semester I would not be upset. You understand how to make people understand better than any other CS prof. I've had. Keep it up. And if you could tell them how to do it at the same time that'd be cool.
	I wish it was easier to get lecture info (or at least most of it) without listening to .mp3 filed (i.e. a ppt). Also, I think lots of time is wasted with less relevant anonymous feedback. However, overall this was a fantastic, well-run course.
	I know I'm probably in the minority, but I didn't really get anything out of the reading of anonymous feedback in the beginning of class. I also understand the reasoning behind all of the automated anti- cheating overhead for labs and homeworks (mainly in the beginning) but actually doing assignments under those conditions is really unpleasant.

Luther was a great teacher. However, I personally didn't find the topic matter particularly interesting or engaging, which is a reflection on my interests not on him as a professor. I question whether this course is actually useful for the average computer science major who is not interested in hardware functioning.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	instructor sometimes seems to value being clever over being helpful, in his answers but his homework/lab system is fantastic
	Good class.
	The course material is broadly uninteresting and irrelevant to someone like myself who is interested in web development and other front-end development tasks. For me, understanding how processors work is neither necessary nor useful. Instead, this course has prevented me from growing in the areas I am good at and passionate about within CS. I strongly feel the material covered by this course is too specific to be in a course required for all CS majors.