ENGR (19683)

INSTRUCTORS: Tychonievich, Luther (lat7h)

Respondents: 14 / Enrollment: 33

Summary: CS 4810-001 Intro to Computer Graphics -	Fall 2016 (1	9683)							
Overall Course Rating			Overal	Instructor	Rating				
CS-4810-001 Mean 4.50 CS-4810-001 Std Dev 0.76 CS-4810-001 Response Count 70	INSTRUCTOR: Tychonievich, Luther Mean 4.78 Std Dev 0.42 Response Count 98								
SEAS, 4000-level courses Mean 4.15 SEAS, 4000-level courses Std Dev 0.91 SEAS, 4000-level courses Response Count 10631	SEAS, 4000-level courses Mean 4.30 SEAS, 4000-level courses Std Dev 0.84 SEAS, 4000-level courses Response Count 15765								
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATR	ICES ~			
1. The course addressed technically	Results for	CS-4810-0	01						
rigorous subject matter consistent with the course objectives.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert	14	4.79	0.43	11 (78.57%)	3 (21.43%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science				• •	• • •	• • •			
			00-level courses Std Dev		Agroo	Noutral	Disagras	Strongly	Not
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicab (NA)
	2125	4.36	0.75	1012 (47.62%)	903 (42.49%)	129 (6.07%)	38 (1.79%)	17 (0.80%)	26 (1.22%)
2. The instructor used methods other	Results for	CS-4810-0	001, Tychon	ievich, Luthe	er				
than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, in-	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicabl (NA)
class discussion) effectively in this course.	14	4.71	0.47	10 (71.43%)	4 (28.57%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
~ Question Type: Likert	Results for	SEAS, 400	00-level cou	ses					
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicabl (NA)
	2252	4.22	0.93	1004 (44.58%)	788 (34.99%)	228 (10.12%)	103 (4.57%)	35 (1.55%)	94 (4.17%)
3. There was a reasonable level of effort	Results for	CS-4810-0	101						
expected for the credit hours received. $\tilde{}$	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
Question Type: Likert \sim				Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	14	4.50	0.76	9 (64.29%)	3 (21.43%)	2 (14.29%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 400	00-level cou	ses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicabl (NA)
	2124	4.26	0.84	922 (43.41%)	956 (45.01%)	136 (6.40%)	63 (2.97%)	35 (1.65%)	12 (0.56%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATR	ICES ~			
4. The homework assignments helped	Results for	· CS-4810-(001						
me learn the subject matter.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	14	4.71	0.61	11 (78.57%)	2 (14.29%)	1 (7.14%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 400	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2129	4.18	0.90	831 (39.03%)	789 (37.06%)	222 (10.43%)	85 (3.99%)	28 (1.32%)	174 (8.17%)
5. The textbook increased my	Results for	· CS-4810-0	001						
understanding of the material. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	14	3.50	0.71	(5) 0 (0.00%)	1 (7.14%)	1 (7.14%)	0 (0.00%)	(1) 0 (0.00%)	(INA) 12 (85.71%)
	Results for	SEAS 400	00-level cou	1995					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2125	3.68	1.08	258 (12.14%)	356 (16.75%)	287 (13.51%)	74 (3.48%)	52 (2.45%)	1098 (51.67%)
6. The course material was well	Results for	· CS-4810-0	001, Tychon	ievich. Luthe	er				
organized and developed. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	14	4.57	0.51	8 (57.14%)	6 (42.86%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	· SEAS, 400	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2250	4.08	0.93	790 (35.11%)	957 (42.53%)	267 (11.87%)	121 (5.38%)	37 (1.64%)	78 (3.47%)
7. The instructor was knowledgeable	Results for	· CS-4810-(001, Tychon	ievich, Luthe	er				
about the subject matter. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	14	4.93	0.27	13 (92.86%)	1 (7.14%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 400	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2257	4.59	0.64	1481 (65.62%)	647 (28.67%)	93 (4.12%)	13 (0.58%)	10 (0.44%)	13 (0.58%)
8. The instructor was well prepared for	Results for	CS-4810-0	001, Tychon	ievich, Luthe	er				
class. ~ Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	14	4.79	0.43	11 (78.57%)	3 (21.43%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 400	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2256	4.38	0.76	1124 (49.82%)	872 (38.65%)	155 (6.87%)	48 (2.13%)	12 (0.53%)	45 (1.99%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANS	WER MATR	ICES ~			
9. I received adequate preparation from	Results for	CS-4810	-001						
the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert \tilde{r} <i>contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering</i>	14	4.14	0.95	6 (42.86%)	5 (35.71%)	2 (14.29%)	1 (7.14%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
and Applied Science	Deputto for		00-level cou	r000					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Not
		Wearr	Old Dev	Agree (5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	Disagree (1)	Applicable (NA)
	2128	4.04	0.93	704 (33.08%)	922 (43.33%)	288 (13.53%)	111 (5.22%)	38 (1.79%)	65 (3.05%)
10. The grading policy was fair.	Results for	CS-4810	-001, Tychon	ievich, Luthe	er				
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	14	4.79	0.43	(3) 11 (78.57%)	3 (21.43%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 40)00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2254	4.16	0.89	876 (38.86%)	966 (42.86%)	215 (9.54%)	94 (4.17%)	38 (1.69%)	65 (2.88%)
11. The instructor responded	Results for	CS-4810	-001, Tychon	ievich, Luthe	er				
adequately to in-class questions. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science	14	4.79	0.43	11 (78.57%)	3 (21.43%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS. 40	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2250	4.38	0.78	1134 (50.40%)	868 (38.58%)	142 (6.31%)	38 (1.69%)	25 (1.11%)	43 (1.91%)
12. The instructor effectively used	Results for	CS-4810	-001, Tychon	ievich Luthe	۲				
technology in support of the learning goals for this course.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
Question Type: Likert ~ contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering	14	4.86	0.36	12 (85.71%)	2 (14.29%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
and Applied Science	Results for	SEAS A	00-level cou	rses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable
	2246	4.28	0.81	962 (42.83%)	931 (41.45%)	183 (8.15%)	55 (2.45%)	24 (1.07%)	(NA) 91 (4.05%)
13. The average number of hours per	Results for	CS-/1810	-001						
week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:	Total		ess than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)		4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	1() or more (NA)
Question Type: Multiple Choice	14		0 (0.00%)	1 (7.14%	5) ((9 64.29%)	3 (21.43%	6)	1 (7.14%)
contributed by Office of the Provost	Results for	SEAS A)00-level cou	rses					
	Total		ess than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)		4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10) or more (NA)
	2131		85 (3.99%)	746 (35.019	6) (4	904 42.42%)	263 (12.349	6)	133 (6.24%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~			
14. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-48 <u>10-001</u>						
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provosi	14	4.50	0.65	8 (57.14%)	5 (35.71%)	1 (7.14%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 4000-	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2121	4.14	0.90	839 (39.56%)	895 (42.20%)	262 (12.35%)	92 (4.34%)	33 (1.56%)
15. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-4810-001						
course. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	14	4.64	0.50	9 (64.29%)	5 (35.71%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 4000-	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2124	4.18	0.95	940 (44.26%)	818 (38.51%)	223 (10.50%)	90 (4.24%)	53 (2.50%)
16. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-4810-001	, Tychonievicl	h, Luther				
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	14	4.64	0.50	9 (64.29%)	5 (35.71%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 4000-I	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2244	4.32	0.74	1001 (44.61%)	1021 (45.50%)	168 (7.49%)	38 (1.69%)	16 (0.71%)
17. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-4810-001	, Tychonievicl	h, Luther				
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev		Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by $Office$ of the Provost	14	4.86	0.36	12 (85.71%)	2 (14.29%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 4000-	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2251	4.32	0.85	1141 (50.69%)	794 (35.27%)	224 (9.95%)	70 (3.11%)	22 (0.98%)
18. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-4 <u>810-001</u>	, Tychonievicl	h, Luther				
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	14	4.79	0.43	11 (78.57%)	3 (21.43%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 4000-1	evel courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2261	4.29	0.87	1097 (48.52%)	857 (37.90%)	205 (9.07%)	66 (2.92%)	36 (1.59%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MATRICES ~			
9. Please make any overall comments	Results for CS-4810-001				
or observations about this course:	Total Individual Answers				
Question Type: Short Answer	6	See below for Individual Results			
contributed by $Office$ of the Provost					
~	 better explanation of ho not match the instructor Professor Tychonievich practically-motivated, ar that he provided lecture he changed the format of the course appreciated downloading the recordi conducted dealt with the "due" dates. First, althou in practice, I was somet note/textbook quizzes. (without citations, but wh Google search tailored t other than the lecture re resources one is allowe pre-lecture readings we focus on within the read always clear (e.g. There a certain paper or video depth during lecture, bu acted more as a "here's the variety in the role th were a bit more explana perhaps categorizing the The only other "issue" I were - various student in thought it meant "before Professor Tychonievich rather than get assignm big picture. I just remern Professor Tychonievich I've taken thus far. Professor Tychonievich understand the homewcu back over them, it norm which parts of the lectur originally seemed, whici me and I appreciated th 	A course as I took before as it requires a lot of visual note-taking. I would like a w to take effective notes in that sense since there are times my drawings do 's, and then I realize I drew the wrong thing. was great in class, presenting the material in a way that was logical, di allowed for students to ask questions if confused. It was also very helpfull recordings and materials on his webpage. Toward the end of the semester, of his lecture recordings so that they were streamable. While I'm sure many in this change, I think it would have been nice to also keep the option of ing as a .mp4 file. The only "issues" I had with the way the course was "textbook/readings" and a miscommunication early on in the semester about ugh I thought the idea of the textbook being the whole Internet was really cool, imes confused about what I could and could not consult with respect to open-Dbviously it would be academically dishonest to take code from a source en I ran into conceptual questions, I was never quite sure whether or not a to the question was allowed or not (I ended up just never using resources cordings and my notes). Perhaps communicating a bit more explicitly what I to use on the Internet might be helpful? Similarly, the posted on the webpage, but I was never quite sure what I was supposed to ings - perhaps it's because the roles of the readings in the course were not talso classes where Professor Tychonievich said to familiarize ourselves with that was posted on the webpage, but I was never quite sure what I have that us sole class as ince, but perhaps if on the webpage there is readings in the worked will endary." However, since made, it class that are diding about what the expected loose due dates the role class was ince, but perhaps if on the webpage. The worked material ents in on time withough it meant "sometime during the weekend" while others the end of Friday" or "by the end of class on Thursday." However, since made, it clear that it was more important that students learn the material ents in an effec			