

bounds-checking?

so far: mistake is no bounds checking

run input function without telling it how much space

so, we avoid this by checking sizes, right?

common problem: bugs in size checking code

integer overflow (or underflow)

integer overflow example (1)

```
item *load_items(int len) {
    int total_size = len * sizeof(item);
    if (total_size >= LIMIT) {
        return NULL;
    }
    item *items = malloc(total_size);
    for (int i = 0; i < len; ++i) {
        int failed = read_item(&items[i]);
        if (failed) {
            free(items);
            return NULL;
        }
    }
    return items;
}
```

len = 0x4000 0001
sizeof(item) = 0x10
total_size =
0x4 0000 0010

integer overflow example (1)

```
item *load_items(int len) {
    int total_size = len * sizeof(item);
    if (total_size >= LIMIT) {
        return NULL;
    }
    item *items = malloc(total_size);
    for (int i = 0; i < len; ++i) {
        int failed = read_item(&items[i]);
        if (failed) {
            free(items);
            return NULL;
        }
    }
    return items;
}
```

len = 0x4000 0001
sizeof(item) = 0x10
total_size =
0x4 0000 0010

integer overflow example (2)

```
/* adapted from https://project-zero.issues.chromium.org/issues/42
Windows Kernel bug! */
char *FormatNumber(char *source, short source_len) {
    unsigned short dest_size = source_len * 6;
    char *dest = malloc(dest_size);
    char *p = dest;
    for (unsigned short i = 0; < source_len; i += 1) {
        *p++ = "0123456789ABCDEF"[*source >> 4];
        *p++ = "0123456789ABCDEF"[*source & 0xF];
        *p++ = '\u';
        source++;
    }
}
```

integer under/overflow: real example

part of another Google Chrome exploit by Pinkie Pie:

```
// In graphics command processing code:  
uint32 ComputeMaxResults(size_t size_of_buffer) {  
    return (size_of_buffer - sizeof(uint32)) / sizeof(T);  
}  
size_t ComputeSize(size_t num_results) {  
    return sizeof(T) * num_results + sizeof(uint32);  
}  
// exploit: size_of_buffer < sizeof(uint32)
```

result: write 8 bytes after buffer

sometimes overwrites data pointer

exercise

```
void vulnerable() {
    int items[100];
    int count;
    bool success =
        try_read_input(&count);
    if (!success) { ... }
    if (count * sizeof() >= sizeof(items)) {
        printf("cannot handle that many\n"); return;
    }
    for (int i = 0; i < count; i += 1) {
        if (!try_read_input(&items[i])) {
            printf("creature end of input\n"); return;
        }
    }
    process_items(items);
}
```

assume return address immediately after items array:
Q: what first input number to provide?
Q: how to encode return address
replacement 0x12345678?

overflow and undefined behavior

C standard: some things are *undefined behavior*

C compiler can do anything in those cases

signed integer overflow is undefined

unsigned integer overflow must wrap around

-fsanitize=undefined

```
int x = INT_MAX -1; int y = 5; printf("%d\n", x * y);
```

compile with -fsanitize=undefined:

```
test.c:....: runtime error: signed integer  
overflow: 2147483646 * 5 cannot be represented in  
type 'int'
```

compile with -ftrapv: Aborted (core dumped)

```
unsigned x = INT_MAX -1; unsigned y = 5; printf("%u\n", x * y);
```

compile with -fsanitize=undefined or -ftrapv: NO
ERROR

in Rust (1)

The screenshot shows the Rust Playground interface. At the top, there's a header bar with a logo, the title "Rust Playground", and various navigation icons. Below the header is a toolbar with buttons for "RUN", "DEBUG", "STABLE", "SHARE", "TOOLS", "CONFIG", and help.

The main area contains the following Rust code:

```
1 fn foo(x: usize) -> usize { x * 2 }
2 fn main() {
3     let x = usize::MAX - 10;
4     println!("{}", foo(x));
5 }
```

Below the code, there's a "Execution" tab which is currently selected. It displays the output of the program execution:

```
Execution
::::
Exited with status 101
```

There is also an "Errors" tab, which is currently empty.

At the bottom, there's a terminal window showing the compilation process and the panic message:

```
Compiling playground v0.0.1 (/playground)
Finished `dev` profile [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 1.32s
Running `target/debug/playground`

thread 'main' panicked at src/main.rs:1:29:
attempt to multiply with overflow
```

At the very bottom right, there are "SEND" and "≡" buttons.

in Rust (2)

The screenshot shows the Rust Playground interface. At the top, there's a toolbar with buttons for RUN, SHARE, TOOLS, and CONFIG. Below the toolbar is a code editor window containing the following Rust code:

```
1 fn foo(x: usize) -> usize { x * 2 }
2 fn main() {
3     let x = usize::MAX - 10;
4     println!("{} ", foo(x));
5 }
```

Below the code editor is an execution log window titled "Execution". It displays the output of the compilation and execution process:

```
Compiling playground v0.0.1 (/playground)
Finished `release` profile [optimized] target(s) in 4.40s
Running `target/release/playground`
```

At the bottom of the execution log is a "Standard Output" section which contains the result of the program's execution:

```
18446744073709551594
```

At the very bottom of the interface is a "SEND" button.

in Rust (3)

```
let x = usize::MAX - 10; let y = 10usize;
println!("{} {}", x.saturating_mul(2), y.saturating_mul(2));
// 18446744073709551615 20
// 18446744073709551615==usize::MAX
println!("{} {} {}", x.checked_mul(2), y.checked_mul(2));
// None Some(30)
println!("{} {}", x.wrapping_mul(2), y.wrapping_mul(2));
// 18446744073709551594 20
// 18446744073709551594==usize::MAX-21
```

backup slides