

# CSC242: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

## Homework 3: AIMA Chapter 7–9

- Complete truth tables for the following formulas:
  - $\neg P$
  - $P \Rightarrow Q$
  - $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$
  - $\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$
  - $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$
  - $(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$
- Briefly and specifically define *entailment*. Why is it important?
- Establish by model checking whether  $P \Rightarrow Q \models \neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ .
- Establish by model checking whether  $\{P, P \Rightarrow Q\} \models Q$ .
- Briefly define the following properties of a sentence or set of sentences:
  - Satisfiable
  - Unsatisfiable
  - Tautology
- Briefly define the following properties of inference rules:
  - Soundness
  - Completeness
- One rule of thumb for faculty hiring might be that a person who is not sociable ( $\neg S$ ) is tenurable ( $T$ ) if he or she is brilliant ( $B$ ), but otherwise is not tenurable. Which of the following are correct representations of this assertion?
  - $(\neg S \wedge T) \iff B$
  - $\neg S \Rightarrow (T \iff B)$
  - $\neg S \Rightarrow ((B \Rightarrow T) \vee \neg T)$
- Use resolution to prove the sentence  $\neg A \wedge \neg B$  from the following set of clauses:

$$S1: A \iff (B \vee E)$$

$$S2: E \Rightarrow D$$

$$S3: C \wedge F \Rightarrow \neg B$$

$$S4: E \Rightarrow B$$

$$S5: B \Rightarrow F$$

$$S6: B \Rightarrow C$$

Hints: (1) Resolution requires conversion to a particular form. (2) To prove a conjunction it suffices to prove each conjunct separately.

9. Briefly explain why a knowledge base that can be expressed entirely as Horn clauses might be A Good Thing.
10. Briefly define the following terms related to first-order logic:
  - (a) Domain or domain of discourse
  - (b) Term
  - (c) Atomic sentence or atomic formula
11. Describe the components of a first-order interpretation.
12. (a) Translate the following sentence of first-order logic into *good, natural* English:

$$\forall x, y, l \text{ SpeaksLanguage}(x, l) \wedge \text{SpeaksLanguage}(y, l) \Rightarrow \text{Understands}(x, y) \wedge \text{Understands}(y, x). \quad (1)$$

- (b) Explain why this sentence is entailed by the sentence

$$\forall x, y, l \text{ SpeaksLanguage}(x, l) \wedge \text{SpeaksLanguage}(y, l) \Rightarrow \text{Understands}(x, y). \quad (2)$$

- (c) Translate the following into first-order logic using the predicates *Understands* and *FriendOf*:
  - i. Mutual understanding leads to mutual friendship.
  - ii. Friendship is transitive (that is, my friend's friends are my friends also).
13. Write out the axioms for reasoning about the wumpus' location, using a constant symbol *Wumpus*, unary predicate *Smelly*, and binary predicates *In* and *Adjacent*. Hint: There is only one wumpus.
14. For each pair of atomic sentences, give the most general unifier if one exists:
  - (a)  $P(A, B, B)$  and  $P(x, y, z)$
  - (b)  $Q(y, g(A, B))$  and  $Q(g(x, x), y)$
  - (c)  $Older(Father(y), y)$  and  $Older(Father(x), John)$

(d)  $Knows(Father(y), y)$  and  $Knows(x, y)$

15. From “Horses are animals,” it follows that “The head of a horse is the head of an animal.” Demonstrate that this inference is valid by doing the following:

- (a) Translate the premise and the conclusion into first-order logic using the predicates  $HeadOf(h, x)$  (“ $h$  is the head of  $x$ ”),  $Horse(x)$  (“ $x$  is a horse”), and  $Animal(x)$  (“ $x$  is an animal”).
- (b) Negate the conclusion, and convert the premise and the conclusion into conjunctive normal form.
- (c) Use resolution to show that the conclusion follows from the premise.

16. Suppose a knowledge base contains just the following first-order Horn clauses:

$$\begin{aligned} &Ancestor(Mother(x), x) \\ &Ancestor(x, y) \wedge Ancestor(y, z) \Rightarrow Ancestor(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

Consider a forward-chaining algorithm that, on the  $j$ th iteration, terminates if the KB contains a sentence that unifies with the query, and otherwise adds to the KB every atomic sentence that can be inferred from the sentences already in the KB after iteration  $j - 1$ .

- (a) For each of the following queries, say whether the algorithm will (1) give an answer (if so, give that answer); or (2) terminate with no answer; or (3) not terminate.
  - i.  $Ancestor(Mother(y), John)$
  - ii.  $Ancestor(Mother(Mother(y)), John)$
  - iii.  $Ancestor(Mother(Mother(Mother(y))), y)$
  - iv.  $Ancestor(Mother(John), Mother(Mother(John)))$
- (b) Can a resolution algorithm prove the sentence  $\neg Ancestor(John, John)$ ?

17. Translate the following statement in FOL:

- If Francis loves everything, then Francis is a saint.

and then convert into CNF. Why does it make sense that the CNF version contains a Skolem function?

18. Translate the following statements into FOL, convert each to clausal form, and write a resolution refutation proof that answers the question.

1. Jack owns a roomba.
  2. Every roomba owner is a robot enthusiast.
  3. No robot enthusiast breaks a robot.
  4. Either Jack or Jill broke my Roomba.
- Question: Did Jill break my roomba?