Syntax-based Testing

CS 3250 Software Testing

[Ammann and Offutt, "Introduction to Software Testing," Ch. 9.1]

Spring 2024 – University of Virginia

© Praphamontripong

Structures for Criteria-Based Testing



ISP, Graph, Logic, and Syntax

Return index of the first occurrence of a letter in string, # Otherwise, return -1 (note: faulty version)

Software artifact

```
def get_index_of(string, letter):
    index = -1
    for i in range(1, len(string)):
        if string[i] == letter:
            return i
    return index
```

Syntax (Grammar-based Testing)

```
for_stmt : 'for' exprlist 'in' testlist ':' suite ['else' ':' suite]
exprlist : (expr|star_expr) (',' (expr|star_expr))* [',']
testlist : test (',' test)* [',']
suite : simple_stmt | ...
```

Syntax (Program-based Mutation)

. . .

Syntax-Based Testing

- Rely on syntactic description of software artifacts
- Syntactic descriptions can come from many sources:
 - Programs
 - Integration elements
 - Design documents
 - Input descriptions
- Tests are created with two general goals
 - Cover the syntax in some way
 - Generate artifacts that are valid (correct syntax)
 - Violate the syntax
 - Generate artifacts that are invalid (incorrect syntax)

Grammar-Based Coverage Criteria

- Common practice: uses automata theory to describe software artifacts
 - BNF describe programming languages
 - Finite state machines describe program behavior
 - Grammars and regular expressions describe allowable inputs
- Focus:
 - Testing the program with valid inputs
 - Exercise productions of the grammar according to some criterion
 - Testing the program with invalid inputs
 - Use grammar-based mutation to test the program with invalid input

Grammar: Regular Expression



Test Cases from Grammar

- A test case can be a sequence of strings that satisfies the regular expression
- Example

(Gsn|Btn)*

Suppose G and B are commands "G" and "B" and s, t, and n are numbers

- G 25 08.01.90
- B 21 06.27.94
- G 21 11.21.94
- B 12 01.09.03

Recognizer ("parsing")

- Is a string (or test input) in the grammar?
- Useful for input validation

Generator

• Given a grammar, derive strings in the grammar

Backus-Naur-Form (BNF) Grammars

 Although regular expressions are sometimes sufficient, a more expressive grammar is often used



More Example: BNF Grammar

Simple grammar for a toy language of arithmetic expressions in BNF notation

expr	::=	id num expr op expr
id	::=	letter letter id
num	::=	digit digit num
ор	::=	"+" "-" "*" "/"
letter	::=	"a" "b" "c" "z"
digit	::=	"0″ "1″ "2″ "3″ … "9″

Example: Derivations id+ľ númí ľ expr/op expr expr ::=syntax tree for id letter | letter id ab+12 digit | digit num num ::= "+" | "-" | "*" | "/"ор expr letter ::= <u>"a</u>" | "b" | "c" | ... | "z" expr expr op digit ::= "0" | "1" | "2" | "3" | ... | "9" id "+" num а expr => id => letter => "a"letter id digit num 49 expr => num => digit num => "4" num "a" letter "1" digit => "4" digit => "4" "9" "b" "2" ab+12

expr => expr or expr => expr "+" expr => ... => "a" "b" "+" "1" "2"____

Which derivation should be used → leads to how criteria are defined

Grammar Coverage Criteria

- Terminal Symbol Coverage (TSC) •
 - TR contains each terminal in the grammar
 - One test case per terminal •
- Production Coverage (PDC) •
 - TR contains each production rule in the grammar
 - One test case per production (hence PDC subsumes TSC)
- Derivation Coverage (DC) •
 - TR contains every possible derivation of the grammar

© Praphamontripong

- One test case per derivation •
- Not practical TR usually infinite •
- When applicable, DC subsumes PDC •

Edge Coverage

Node Coverage

Complete Path Coverage

Example: TSC

Imagine you are testing a parser or interpreter for the example toy language. Define a test set (i.e., a set of grammar derivations) that satisfies TSC

expr	::=	id num expr op expr		
id	::=	letter letter id		
num	::=	digit digit num		
ор	::=	``+ <i>"</i> ``- <i>"</i> ``* <i>"</i> ``/ <i>"</i>		
letter	::=	``a″ ``b″ ``c″ ``z″		
digit	::=	"0″ "1″ "2″ "3″ "9″		

Terminal Symbol Coverage (TSC)

- TR contains each terminal in the grammar
- One test case per terminal

Tests for TSC

Number of tests is bounded by the number of terminal symbols

Need 40 tests

- 26 tests: a, b, ..., z
- 10 tests: 0, 1, ..., 9
- 4 tests: +, -, *, /

Example: PDC

Imagine you are testing a parser or interpreter for the example toy language. Define a test set (i.e., a set of grammar derivations) that satisfies PDC

expr	::= id num expr op expr
id	::= letter letter id
num	::= digit digit num
ор	::= ``+'' ``-'' ``*'' ``/''
letter	::= "a" "b" "c" "z"
digit	::= "0" "1" "2" "3" "9"

Production Coverage (PDC)

- TR contains each production rule in the grammar
- One test case per production (hence PDC subsumes TSC)

Tests for PDC

Need 47 tests:

- 40 tests that satisfy TSC
 - 4 for op, 26 for letter,
 - 10 for digit
- Additional 7 tests
 - expr ::=id
 - expr ::= num
 - expr ::= expr op expr
 - id ::= letter
 - id ::= letter id
 - num ::= digit
 - num ::= digit num

Example: DC

Imagine you are testing a parser or interpreter for the example toy language. Define a test set (i.e., a set of grammar derivations) that satisfies DC

expr	::=	id num expr op expr
id	::=	letter letter id
num	::=	digit digit num
ор	::=	``+" ``-" ``*" ``/"
letter	::=	"a" "b" "c" "z"
digit	::=	"0″ "1″ "2″ "3″ … "9″

Derivation Coverage (DC)

- TR contains every possible derivation of the grammar
- One test case per derivation

Tests for DC

- The number of tests depends on details of the program
- For this example:
 - Infinite due to
 - id ::= letter id
 - num ::= digit num
 - expr ::= expr op expr

Mutation Testing

- A process of changing the software artifact based on well defined <u>rules</u>
 Mutation operators: Rules that specify syntactic variations of strings generated from a grammar
- Rules are defined on syntactic descriptions

Grammars

 We perform mutation analysis when we want to make systematic changes, resulting in <u>variations</u> of a valid string

Mutants: Result of one application of a mutation operator

We can mutate the syntax or objects developed from the syntax
 Grammar
 Ground strings

Ground strings (Strings in the grammar)

Underlying Concept: Mutation Testing



Mutants and Ground Strings

- Mutation operators
 - The key to mutation testing is the design of the mutation operators
 - Well designed operators lead to powerful testing
- Sometimes mutant strings are based on ground strings
- Sometimes they are derived directly from the grammar
 - Ground strings are used for valid tests
 - Invalid tests do not need ground string

Example: Valid and Invalid Mutants

Stream	::= action*
action	::= actG actB
actG	::= "G" s n
actB	::= "B" t n
S	::= digit ¹⁻³
t	::= digit ¹⁻³
n	::= digit ² "." digit ² "." digit ²
digit	::= "0" "1" "2" "3" "4" "5" "6" "7" "8" "9"

$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	a	li	d	ſ	Μ	u	ta	ır	<u>nts</u>	

<u>Ground Strings</u>				<u>Mutants</u>				
G	25	08.01.90	В	25	08.01.90			
В	21	06.27.94	В	4 1	06.27.94			



Grammar-based Mutation Coverage Criteria

Coverage is defined in terms of killing mutants

number killed mutants

- Mutation score $=\frac{1}{total number non-equivalent mutants}$
- Mutation Coverage (MC)
 - TR contains exactly one requirement to kill each mutant
- Mutation Operator Coverage (MOC)
 - For each mutation operator, TR contains exactly one requirement to create a mutant using that operator
- Mutation Production Coverage (MPC)
 - For each mutation operator, TR contains several requirements to create a mutant that includes every product that can be mutated by that operator

Example Mutation Operators

- Terminal and nonterminal deletion
 - Remove a terminal or nonterminal symbol from a production
- Terminal and nonterminal duplication
 - Duplicate a terminal or nonterminal symbol in a production
- Terminal replacement
 - Replace a terminal with another terminal
- Nonterminal replacement
 - Replace a terminal with another nonterminal

Example

	Ground String
::= action*	G 25 08.01.90
::= actG actB	B 21 06.27.94
::= "G" s n	
::= "B" t n	Mutation Operators
::= digit ¹⁻³ 1	. Exchange actG and actB
$::= digit^{1-3}$ /2	. Replace digits with other digits
::= digit ² "." digit ² "." digit ²	ligit ²
::= ``0″ ``1″ ``2″ `` ³ ″ ``	``4″ ``5″ ``6″ ``7″ ``8″ ``9″
	Mutants using MPC
В	25 08.01.90 G 21 06.27.94
cants using MOC	1 5 08.01.90 B 2 2 06.27.94
25 08.01.90 🔶 G	3 5 08.01.90 B 2 3 06.27.94
2 4 06.27.94 ← G	4 5 08.01.90 B 2 4 06.27.94
	::= action* ::= actG actB ::= "G" s n ::= "B" t n ::= digit ¹⁻³ ::= digit ² "." digit ² "." d ::= "0" "1" "2" "3" " :ants using MOC 25 08.01.90 \leftarrow 24 06.27.94 \leftarrow G

Summary

- The number of test requirements for mutation depends
 - The syntax of the artifact being mutated
 - The mutation operators
- Mutation testing is very difficult (and time consuming) to apply by hand
- Mutation testing is very effective considered the "gold standard" of testing
- Mutation testing is often used to evaluate other criteria